Suite 401 DNA Building 238 Archbishop Flores St. Hagåtña, Guam 96910





То:	Mary A.Y. Okada, Ed.D President Guam Community College P.O. Box 23069 GMF, Guam 96921	From:	<b>Doris Flores Brooks</b> <b>Guam Public Auditor</b> Office of Public Accountability	
Phone: Fax:	(671) 735-5542 / 5540 (671) 734-5238	Pages:	4 (including cover page)	
CC:	c/o Rebecca J. Wrightson Cabot Mantanona LLP (Attorney for Guam Community College)	Date:	November 15, 2017	
Phone:	(671) 646-2001	Phone:	(671) 475-0390 x. 206	
Fax:	(671) 646-0777	Fax:	(671) 472-7951	
CC:	Generoso M. Bangayan President Phil-Gets (Guam) International Training Corp. dba J & B Modern Tech P.O. Box 9788 Hagatna, Guam 96931 (Appellant)			
Phone:	(671) 646-0588/3346			
Fax:	(671) 646-0589 Daniel J. Berman, Esq. Berman O'Connor & Mann			
CC:	Suite 503, Bank of Guam Bldg. 111 Chalan Santo Papa Hagatna, Guam 96910			
Phone: Fax:	(671) 477-2778 (671) 477-4366			
Re:	OPA-PA-17-011 Order Re: Objection to Hearing Officer and Motion for Continuance			
Urgent	🗆 For Review 🛛 Please Com	ment 🗸	Please Reply	

## **Comments:**

Please acknowledge receipt of this transmittal by re-sending this cover page along with your firm or agency's receipt stamp, date, and initials of receiver.

Thank you, Vincent Duenas Auditor <u>vduenas@guamopa.com</u>

This facsimile transmission and accompanying documents may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient of this fax transmission, please call our office and notify us immediately. Do not distribute or disclose the contents to anyone. Thank you.

1						
2	a the second sec					
3	OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY Doris Flores Brooks, CPA, CGFM Public Auditor					
.	Fublic Auditor					
4	BEFORE THE PUBLIC AUDITOR PROCUREMENT APPEALS					
5	TERRITORY OF GUAM					
6						
7	IN THE APPEAL OF	Docket No. OPA-PA-17-011				
8 9	PHIL-GETS (GUAM) INTERNATIONAL TRADING CORP. dba J & B MODERN	ORDER RE: OBJECTION TO HEARING OFFICER AND MOTION FOR				
10	ТЕСН,	CONTINUANCE				
11	Appellant.					
12	And					
13	GUAM COMMUNITY COLLEGE,					
14 15	Purchasing Agency.					
16 17	Before the Public Auditor are an Objection to Hearing Officer filed by Appellant Phil-Gets					
18	(C. ) I to stimul Tradius Come the I & D Madam Task and Appallant's Motion for					
19						
20	the Objection and Motion.					
21	I. Objection to Hearing Officer					
22	Appellant objects to the hearing officer for	or the reason that "the appointed Hearing Officer's				
23	Appellant objects to the hearing officer for the reason that "the appointed Hearing Officer's					
24		as Appellant's counsel represents clients who are				
25	adversarial and opposed to parties represented by the Hearing Officer and/or her partner in "active					
26	and vigorously contested civil litigation in two (2) separate matters in the Superior Court of					
27	Guam[.]" (Objection at 1.)					
28						

1 In Sule v. Guam Bd. of Dental Examiners, the Guam Supreme Court held that the standard 2 for determining whether administrative adjudicators have a disgualifying bias is the actual bias 3 standard, which is higher than an appearance of impropriety standard. 2008 Guam 20 ¶ 19. The 4 Supreme Court held that "in order to prove that an adjudicator is biased, there must be a concrete 5 showing that bias actually exists." Sule, 2008 Guam 20 ¶ 20. One party's "unilateral perceptions 6 of an appearance of bias cannot be a ground for disqualification." Id. (quoting Andrews v. Agric. 7 Labor Relations Bd., 623 P.2d 151, 157 (Cal. 1981)). Administrative adjudicators "are presumed 8 9 to be free from bias. Moreover, mere allegations of impartiality are insufficient to set aside an 10 administrative determination." Id. (quoting Goldsmith v. De Buono, 665 N.Y.S.2d 727, 731 (N.Y. 11 App. Div. 1997)).

Here, Appellant merely alleges that the Hearing Officer's impartiality might reasonably be questioned, which is an appearance of impropriety standard. The fact that the Hearing Officer and her partner and Appellant's counsel represent parties who are opposed to each other in civil actions before the Superior Court of Guam is not enough to demonstrate actual bias by the Hearing Officer against Appellant or its counsel. As Appellant has failed to make a concrete showing that the Hearing Officer is actually biased, the Public Auditor denies Appellant's objection.

19 20

12

## II. Motion for Continuance

Appellant requests that the Pre-Hearing Conference, currently scheduled for November 17, 2017, be continued "to any reasonable date after November 21, 2017, commencing November 22, 2017[,]" for the reasons that it has a pending objection to the Hearing Officer, counsel for Appellant was retained on November 8, 2017, and the file is substantial and significant time is needed to review the record of the procurement. (Mot. at 1-2.) Appellant represents that Guam Community College does not object to the requested continuance. Although Appellant's objection to the Hearing Officer is addressed herein and no longer pending, the Public Auditor finds that the

1	remaining reasons for continuance provide sufficient cause for the continuance. Accordingly, the
2	Public Auditor orders that the Pre-Hearing Conference is rescheduled to December 4, 2017, at 9:00
3	a.m.
4	<b>SO ORDERED</b> this 15 <sup>th</sup> day of November, 2017.
5	
6	ALPIN
7	Date Prophs
8	DORIS FLORES BROOKS, CPA, CGFM Public Auditor of Guam
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15 16	
10	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	3