MAIR, MAIR, SPADE & THOMPSON, L.L.C. Attorneys at Law 238 A.F.C. Flores Street Suite 801, DNA Building Hagåtña, Guam 96910

Telephone: (671) 472-2089/2090

Facsimile: (671) 477-5206

Attorneys for Z4 Corporation

KEULIVED
OFFICEOFTHE PUBLICAUDITOR
PROTTREMENTADDEALS

FEB 19 2010

MVIC:	١.	1	Y	
0/87.		Th	ħ	Ū

FILE No. OPA-PA 09-O/L

OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY

PROCUREMENT APPEAL

In the Appeal of)	Docket No. OPA- PA-09-012
Z4 Corporation,))	OPPOSITION TO APPELLEE'S MOTION TO DISMISS
	Appellant.)))	

INTRODUCTION

The GDOE and GSA now realize that Eons' Alternate bid cannot support an award due to the failure to offer a full explanation or meet the specifications of the IFB. As stated in the GDOE's Motion to Dismiss, Eons "continued to not meet the technical specifications of the project." Accordingly, in its motion, the GDOE and GSA no longer seek to affirm the award to Eons. Additionally, the GDOE has now reversed its position and argues that Z4's "Brochures/Literature were found Not to meet the technical specification of the project." The GDOE now seeks to restart the procurement process for the third time. The GDOE's only arguments are now: (1) Z4's bid was not in substantial



conformance with the specification of the IFB; and (2) the alternate bid process should not have been used to procure this construction contract.

The public interest begs for this project to move forward. Someone needs to win this bid. The answer to any procurement irregularities cannot be to re-start this process for the third time, and possibly draw another protest. At this rate, by the time this gym is built, these kids will be in high school.

At this point, the only question is whether the award to Z4 should be affirmed. The GDOE should not be allowed to raise *one "negligible"* error to defeat a construction project valued at around \$800,000; holding so would only invite more protests in the future. Further, while this alternate bid process might not have been perfect, it produced a bidder who has complied with all the specification, save for one which can easily be remedied. The show must go on.

<u>ANALYSIS</u>

I.

Z4'S BID IS IN SUBSTANTIAL COMPLIANCE WITH THE SPECIFICATIONS OF THE IFB

A. <u>Legal Standard of Minor Informality</u>

"A minor informality is a matter of form, rather than substance evident from the bid document, *or insignificant mistakes that can be waived or corrected without prejudice to other bidders; that is effect on price*, quantity, quality, delivery, or contractual conditions is *negligible*." In the appeal of Dick Pacific Const. Co. Ltd, OPA Appeal No. OPA-PA-07-007, *citing* 2 G.A.R. § 3109(m)(4)(B).

The GDOE and GSA properly waived the minor formality in Z4's bid because the difference between the pricing for the scoreboard is negligible and Eons was not prejudiced by the waiver.

B. The GDOE Found that Z4's Bid Substantially Complied with the Specifications

The GDOE waived any minor informality in Z4's bid. On July 16, 2009, TMRA reviewed the Basic bid of Z4 and the Alternate bid of Eons. TRMA only found that one (1) product submitted by Z4 was non-conforming, the scoreboard. On the other hand, TRMA found (5) of the products submitted by Eons were not acceptable, *including the same scoreboard offered by Z4*.

After review of TRMA's evaluation, the GDOE did not find that Z4's bid was non-conforming, simply due to this one item. Accordingly, the GDOE waived any minor informality and awarded the IFB to Z4.

C. The GSA Also Found that Z4's Bid Substantially Complied with the Specifications of the IFB

On another occasion, GSA separately evaluate Z4's bid and found that Z4 substantially complied with the specifications of the IFB. On October 9, 2009, GSA rejected Z4's due to "high price." *See* Agency Report Exhibit 27. However, GSA could have rejected Z4's bid due "non-conformance with the specification," as provided on the form. Therefore, GSA found that Z4's bid was in substantial compliance with the specifications and waived any minor informality.

D. Z4's Failure to Meet the Specifications for the Scoreboard is "Negligible" and There Was No Prejudice to Eons

The GDOE and GSA properly waived the minor formality in Z4's bid because the difference between the pricing was negligible between the unacceptable scoreboard and the specifications. According to the tabulation of Eons' Alternate and Basic bid, the cost difference between the substituted product and the specified product is \$8,000.00 (Eons' submitted the same unacceptable product). See Appellee's Exhibit 13 and 14. This amount to less than 1% of Z4's total bid. Furthermore, the "Explanation" Eons submitted with its Appeal to the OPA also indicated that the difference was insignificant. See In the Appeal of Eons Enterprises Co., OPA Appeal No. OPA-PA-09-006, Exhibit "5"; see also Appellant's Exhibit 15. Therefore, the unacceptable product will only have a negligible effect on the overall bid price for the IFB.

Finally, Eons was not prejudiced by the waiver of this minor informality, because Eons submitted the same unacceptable product. In addition, Eons also submitted four (4) other unacceptable products; and Eons later "continued to not meet the technical specifications of the project." Thus, Eons was not prejudiced because Eons' Alternate bid contained numerous other unacceptable products, and still does.

E. <u>Eons' Alternate Bid Cannot Support and Award because Eons Errors</u> <u>Cannot be Waived</u>

The difference between the Eons' Alternate bid and Z4's bid is only Z4's bid can an award; and it is now clear that GSA has realized that Eons' Alternate bid cannot support an award. As noted in the motion to dismiss:

At later points in history of the procurement EONS Enterprises was permitted to submit two additional written

clarifications Brochures/Literature and to list different materials. The submittals by EONS Enterprises that were reviewed by *TRMA* continued to not meet the technical specifications of the project. [Emphasis Added].

Appellee's Motion to Dismiss at p. 4.

Eons had multiple opportunities to submit products that meet the technical specifications of the IFB. However, at no point, did the GDOE, GSA, or TRMA hold that Eons' Alternate bid could meet the specifications of the IFB. Therefore, Eons' Alternate bid cannot support award because its omissions amount to unwaivable material defects.

In addition, Eons Alternate bid was rejected for failure to fully explain the terms of the IFB. This failure also cannot be waived because failing to fully explain the offerings of a bid will undoubtedly prejudice other bidders. Additionally, the IFB specifically noted that failure to explain the Alternate bid would result in its rejection. Thus, Eons' failures cannot be waived, and *the GDOE no longer argues otherwise*.

F. The Public Interest Supports Finding A Waiver

Granting this motion would set a dangerous precedent for agencies, including the GDOE and GSA. A minor informality is one that has a "negligible" "effect on price." As stated above, the difference for the scoreboard item is less than one-percent (1%) of Z4's total bid price. Accordingly, if it is deemed that a 1% deviation is not a minor informality, then agencies will have to re-bid nearly all construction contracts because change orders and other inconsistencies are inevitable for such large and complicated projects. Granting this motion would only invite more protests in the future.

THE GDOE HAS WAIVED ITS OBJECTION TO Z4'S BID BEING NON-CONFORMING

It is long established that an answer must contain any affirmative defenses that the defendant may have to the claim. The answer must provide fair notice of any such defense so the aggrieved party may develop any responses to the defenses. *See* Woodfield v. Bowman, 193 F.3d 354, 362 (5th Cir. 1999). Bid rejection/protest letters are no different.

As noted above, GSA rejected Z4's bid due to "high price," not due to another available option of "non-conformance with the specifications." In GSA's Bid Protest Rejection letter, GSA failed to raise the issue of any material defect with Z4's bid. Additionally, in the Agency Report, GSA also failed to raise this supposed objection to Z4's bid. Thus, on three separate occasions, GSA failed to raise any issue of non-conformance in Z4's bid.

If the GDOE and GSA truly believed that Z4's bid was non-conforming, they would have raised this issue in a timely manner. However, at no point did the GDOE or GSA raise this issue. Thus, the GDOE and GSA should be barred from raising this issue on the eve of the hearing and it should be considered waived.

III.

THE IFB SHOULD NOT BE CANCELED SIMPLY BECAUSE THE ALTERNATE BID PROCESS WAS USED

In its motion, the GDOE acknowledges its own mistake in the bid process and even argues that the IFB should be re-bid because this type of IFB is "not common in construction procurement." See Appellee's Motion to Dismiss at p. 4. In support, the

GDOE attaches the statement of Mark Ruth, who also indicates that this was an unusual

process. However, there is nothing per se unlawful about using this procurement method

for this type of contract. While it may not have been the best method to procure this

construction project; there was nothing illegal with using this process. Thus, this reason

cannot support cancellation of the IFB.

Furthermore, the process has been effective. The GDOE now has a bidder,

Z4, who has met the specification for entire project, save for one small item. In the event

Z4 is awarded this project, Z4 will easily cure its defect, as in the usual process. In

comparison, Eons was given the opportunity to cure its defects, but it could not.

Finally, the public interest begs for this project to move forward as it has

been delayed for several years. This project has already been re-bid once, and now the

GDOE seeks to start this process from the beginning for the third time? This project

should be awarded to someone. This IFB should not be dismissed at this point.

CONCLUSION

The show must go on. Someone should be awarded this contract and this

gym must be built. Accordingly, Z4 respectfully requests for the Appellee's Motion to

Dismiss to be DENIED.

Respectfully submitted this 19th day of February, 2010.

MAIR, MAIR, SPADE & THOMPSON, L.L.C.

Attorneys for Z4 Corporation

Bv.

AARON R. JACKSON

P103013.ARJ

7

Schedule of Vanes (ALTERNATE BID)		1.000					And the second s
Ocean View Middle School Gymnasium Repair							,
IFB -008-2009 (Re-bid)							
ITEM	LIND	VTQ.			A	ABOR	BID AMOUNT
			5]]		J/P J/U	LN	AN TERNATE
A1 Replace court floor system (7MM)	R.S.	4194	7	29358	7	9358	58716
		4194		0	4	4194	4194
Paint concrete floor at gymnasium	SF	2958	2	5916	2	5916	11832
	SF	2804	1.5	4206	2	5608	9814
	RS	4900	0.1	490	0.5	2450	2940
	4	0	0	C	. 1		5
B1 Remove existing wood partition walls and doors at locker room	L	52	0	0	6	468	468
Clean and paint all interior and exterior walls, exposed conduits, concrete gutters and							
1	II.	33200	0.75	24900	0.75	24900	49800
1	T.S.	1626	0.01	16.26		0	16.26
	느	112	0.7	78.4	_	112	190.4
	ω π	06	4	360	0	270	630
	SET	4	0009	24000	4000	160001	40000
U.Z. Provide fire extinguishers at locker rooms and gymnasium office	PC	က	150	450		0	450
C3 Repair basketball gol winch sytem, clean backboards and repaint rims and structures	S F	Φ	7.00	UUb	UU Y	3000	0000
	SF	300	14	4200		000	0000
	SET	-	8000	8000	1200	1200	0000
CS Replace casework at band room and concessions room	ST	-	3000	3000	3500	35001	8500
Provide accoustical wall panels, remove wood wall trim & accoustical wall tiles at band							
1	L CS	640	8.5	5440	m	1920	7360
	LS	-	1000	1000	450	450	1450
Dania Ca	PC	120	320	38400	40	4800	43200
	EA		350	350	80	80	430
Replace folial accounts	EA	2	400	800	150	300	1100
713 Remove folial doors of 1997 and 199	EA		35	35	35	35	70
-	ШA	9	87	522	55	330	852
	느	10	22	220	25	250	470
	SF			0		0	0
UZ Replace missing ceiling board and paint	SF	64	5	320	22	3201	640
1	Щ	200	3.5	700	5	10001	1700
	RS	3450	2.25	7762.5	2.5	8625	16387.5
	SF	1882	2.5	4705	1	7528	12233
UD Replace damage roof insulation at classroom, approx 32 sf	SF	32	2	64	4.5	144	208
U/ Provide exterior cement board soffit at east and west gymnasium entrance	SF	418	1.8	752.4	2.5	1045	1797.4

					_			
0 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Ocean View Middle School Gymnasium Repair							
	IFB -008-2009 (Re-bid)					And the same of th		
<u>-</u> E E	DESCRIPTION	LINO	ATA	MAT	MATERIALS	V	ABOB	
	ARCHITECTURAL WORKS			d/I)	BASIC	0/11	AMOUNT	DAMOON
A1 R	Replace court floor system (7MM)	SF	4194	30	125820	Ç		DA
LT.	REMOVAL AND DISPOSAL		4404	3	070071		41940	
A2 P	Paint concrete floor at gymnasium	n C	10000		00077	1.2	5032.8	
A3 P	Provide new VCT at band room, band storage, classrooms and office.	5 6	2828	4	11832	2	5916	17748
A4 C	ciassiconiis	7	2804	2.25	6309	2	5608	11917
1	Clean (Instrantination)	2	4900	0.1	490	0.5	2450	2940
	Pamous sviriling wood south	4	0	0	0		0	
		느	52	0	0	6	468	AGB
B2 st	Creati and paint all intentor and exterior walls, exposed conduits, concrete gutters and structures	L						100
1	Clean and regrout wall files	T U	33200		36520	1.2	39840	76360
1	Provide rukhar wall hase	SF	1626	0.1	162.6		0	162.6
	Sast brassoblock	4	112	0.7	78.4	+	112	190.4
	Dalas Managaran	SF	80	4	360	3	270	630
	eprace oreachers	SET	4	12000	48000	4000	16000	64000
1	r rovide life extinguishers at locker rooms and gymnasium office	PC	3	150	450		0	450
	Repair basketball gol winch sytem, clean backboards and repaint rims and structures	SET	9	150	006	500	3000	0000
	Provide wall padding	SF	300	14	4200		0000	0000
	Replace scoreboard	TAS:	+	18000	12000	0000		4700
C6 Re	Replace casework at band room and concessions room	10		2000	00001	1700	1200	17200
Pr	The city of the State of the St	3	-	3000	3000	3500	3500	6500
	foom	C.	640	מ	077	C	((
	Provide writing boards and trackboards at band room and classrooms	S.	2	1000	1000	0 0	10761	/360
C9 Re	Replace lockers and benches at locker rooms	DO	100	2002	1000	450	450	1450
C10 Re	Replace scorers table) <	07,	000	0007/	40	4800	76800
C11 Pro	Provide ADA bench at shower		-	350	350	80	80	430
C12 Re	Replace toilet accessories provide grab har at ADA toilets		7	300	009	150	300	006
C13 Re	Replace toilet stall doors at restrooms	Y L		35	35	35	35	70
+	Provide new foilet partition at office and the second seco	EA	9	87	522	55	330	852
~†~~	Reference and point poiling to a control of the con	5	10	22	220	25	250	470
	itabe and paint celling boards	SF			0			PIC
	replace missing celling board and paint	SF	64	5	320	9	320	0 840
	replace foot gutter interior closure trim, continuous	4	200	3.5	7007) (t	1000	040
	Replace ACT and suspension grid	SF	3450	2.5	8625	2 2	0001	1700
	Provide water resistant gypsum board ceiling	SF	1882	2.5	4705	- 1	0700	097/1
	Replace damage roof insulation at classroom, approx 32 sf	SF	32	0	700	t u v	070/	12233
D7 Pro	Provide exterior cement board soffit at east and west gymnasium entrance	LU (C.	418	1 2	100		144	208
		5	2	0.1	(54.4	7.5	1045	1797.4

EXHIBIT [4

EONS ENTERPRISES CORPORATION TABLE AND SPREADSHEET

Major Internitation
Hasic Bild Marcrints Alternate Bild Marcrin
Hasic Bild Materials Altarnate Bild Materials And Concerning State of Conc
Alternate Bild Materials And a Clark potent is subjuicted or grape of spaces Information good quality. Too Expressive And a Clark potent in subjuicted or grape of spaces Information good quality. Too Expressive Information good quality. Incorporative Lanuaria Bild Materials And a Clark potent in subjuiction in subjuiction in subjuiction in subjuiction in subjuiction good quality. Incorporative Lanuaria Bild Materials Information good quality. Incorporative Lanuaria Bild Materials And in Clark in subjuiction and landarian subjuiction and interval acceptable by IAAF Idea Stage stopment schedule Information good quality. Incorporative Information good quality.
Alternate Bild Marcrials Alternate Bild Materials Alternate Bild Bild Bild Bild Bild Bild Bild Bild
Alternate Bild Materials Alternate Bild Mat
Bask Bid Materials Alternate Bid Materials Remarks
Alternate Bid Materials Alternative Alternativ
Baske Bid Materials Alternate Bid Materials And in London, good quality. Too Expensive High Shipmant Fraight Expenses Floor system is available of range of specs. High Shipmant Fraight Expenses Lesstan BF2, fram PU Made in China, good quality. Inexpensive Longer time in single in China, good quality. Inexpensive Made in China, good quality. Inexpensive Longer time in single planton for all weathers. Lesstan BF2, fram PU Made in China, good quality. Inexpensive Made in USA. In compliance with the specs of the special of the
Power This is included at the doors accessories Signify Note District device Signify Note This is included at the doors accessories Signify Note This is included at the doors accessories Signify Note This is included at the doors accessories Signify Note This is included at the doors accessories Signify Note This is included at the doors accessories Signify Note This is included at the doors accessories Note This is included at the doors acces
Power Namerong 12*X12*X18* Alternate Bld Materials Alternate Bld M
Made in China, in compliance with the spects of the following polytech Gym, 7mm PU Inwin Telescopic Seating Co Inwin Telescopic Seating Co Inwin Model 4800 Inwin Model 4800
Basic Bid Materials Alternate Bid Bid School China, in London, good quality Inc. Alternate Bid Materials Alternate Bid School China, in London Included Alternative Materials Alternate Bid Bid School China, in Longer Delivery line Specis Bynerials Bid Bid School China, in Longer Delivery line Specis Expensive Alternate Bid Materials Alternate Bid Bid School China, in Longer Delivery line Specis Bynerials Bid Bid School China, in Longe
Basic Bid Materials Alternate Bid Materials And a in London, good quality. Too Expensive Floor system is available of range of specs. Floor system is available of range of specs. In Mark Polytech Gym., 7mm PU Lesulan BP2, 7mm PU Adds in China, good quality. Inexpensive Longer Ima in shipping and harding 60days. In Made in China, good quality. Inexpensive Adds in China, good quality. Inexpensive Longer Warranty Adds in China, good quality. Inexpensive Adds in China, good quality. Inexp
Basic Bild Materials Alternate Bild Materials Alternate Bild Materials Alternate Bild Materials And a in London, good quality. Too Expansive Floor system its available of range of specs. High Shipment (Freight Expensed Longer time in shipping and handling 60days (Longer time in shipping 60
Basic Bild Materials Alternate Bild Materials And a In London, good quality. Too Expensive Floor system is available of range of specs (Longer time in shipping and handling 60days (Longer time in shipping and handling 60days (Longer time in shipping and handling 60days) Lesulan BP2 7mm PU Made in China, good quality. Inexpensive Made in China in politication for all weather (Lower shipment cast, accepted by IAAF (Longer time in shipping and handling 60days) Invin Telescopic Sealing Co Invin Model 4500 Synergy Fleescopic Bleachers. Synergy Fleescopic Bleachers. Fleesco
Basic Bild Materials Alternate Bild Materia
Alternate Bid Materials Alternate Bid
Hasic Bid Materials Alternate Bid Materials Made in London, good quality. Too Expensive Floor system is available of range of specs Fligh Shipmen freight Expenses Lesutan BP2, 7mm PU Lesutan BP2, 7mm PU Made in China, good quality. Too Expensive Fligh Shipmen freight Expenses Longer time in shipping and handing 60day/s Made in China, good quality, Inexpensive Adays shipment cost, accepted by IAAF Adays shipment cost, accepted by IAA
Hasic Bid Materials Alternate Bid Materials Made in London, good quality, Too Expensive Floor system is available of range of specs Fligh Shipmen for Frieldh Expenses Longer time in shipping and handing 60days Lesutan BP2, 7mm PU Made in China, good quality, Too Expensive Fligh Shipmen for Frieldh Expenses Longer time in shipping and handing 60days Synergy Synergy Made in China, in compliance with the specs Invin Model 4500 Synergy Made in China, in compliance with the specs Inappensive Longer warranty Made in China Significant Made in China Significant Made in China Joint Venture with US Group Conforms USA, in compliance with the specs High Price, good quality, Too Expensive High Price, good quality (Longer Delivery time)
Basic Bid Materials
Basic Bid Materials
Basic Bid Materials Alternate Intended, Longer Delivery time Alternate Bid Materials Alternate Bid Intended, Longer Delivery time Alternate Bid Intended, Longer Delivery time Alternate Bid Materials Alternate Bid Intended, Longer Delivery time Alternate Bid Intended, Longer Delivery
Basic Bid Materials Alternate Bid Materials Renarks
Basic Bid Materials Atternate Bid Materials Remarks Remarks Remarks
Basic Bid Materials Alternate Bid Materials Remarks Remarks Remarks
Basic Bid Materials Atternate Bid Materials Remarks Remarks Polytech Gym., 7mm PU Made in London, good quality. Too Expensive
Atternate Bid Materials Polytech Gym., 7mm PU Made in London, good quality. Too Expensive
Atternate Bid Materials Atternate Bid
Atternate Bid Materials Add in London, good quality. Too Expensive High Shipment Freight Expenses High Shipment Freight Expenses Lesutan BP2, 7mm PU Adde in China, good quality, Inexpensive S 58,716 00 Adde in China, good quality, Inexpensive Made in China, good quality, Inexpensive S 58,716 00 Adde in China, good quality, Inexpensive S 58,716 00 Adde in China, good quality, Inexpensive Lower shipment cost, accepted by IAAF At days shipment schedule Invin Telescopic Seating Co Invin Model 4500 Adde in USA, in compliance with the specs Made in USA, in compliance with the specs Adde in USA.
Atternate Bid Materials Renarks Renarks Atternate Bid Materials Renarks
Atternate Bid Materials Atternate Bid Materials Renarks Renarks Renarks Renark
Alternate Bid Materials Add in London, good quality. Too Expensive High Shipment Freight Expenses High Shipment Freight Expenses Legutan BP2, 7mm PU Add in China, good quality, Inexpensive Made in China, good quality, Inexpensive Made in China, good quality, Inexpensive Sta716.00 Add in China, good quality, Inexpensive Made in China, good quality, Inexpensive Made in China, good quality, Inexpensive Sta716.00 Add de in Lista Start
Basic Bid Materials Atternate Bid Materials Remarks
MRI Polytech Gym , 7mm PU 1) MRI Polytech Gym , 7mm PU S 167,760.00 Holy to Expensive High Shipment I Freight Expenses Longer time in shipping and handling 60days Lesutan BP2, 7mm PU Made in China, good quality . Inexpensive Monellith: in application for all weather Lower shipment cost, accepted by IAAF
Made in China, good quality. Inexpensive 1) MRI Polytech Gym , 7mm PU 1) MRI Polytech Gym , 7mm PU 10 MRI Polytech Gym , 7mm PU 11 MRI Polytech Gym , 7mm PU 12 Made in London, good quality . Too Expensive High Shipment iFreight Expenses Longer time in shipping and handling 60days 12 Lesutan BP2, 7mm PU 13 Made in China, good quality . Inexpensive \$ 58,716.00 14 Made in China good quality . Inexpensive Manual in China good quality . Inexpensive
Basic Bid Materials Alternate Bid Materials Remarks Remarks Remarks
Basic Bid Materials Alternate Bid Materials Remarks Remarks 1) MRI Polytech Gym , 7mm PU S 167,760.00 Made in London, good quality . Too Expensive Floor system is available of range of specs High Shipment Freight Expenses Longer time in shipping and handling 60days
Hasic Bid Materials Alternate Bid Materials Remarks Remarks 1) MRI Polytech Gym., 7mm PU Made in London, good quality. Too Expensive Floor system is available of range of specs High Shipment Freight Expenses High Shipment Freight Expenses
Alternate Bid Materials Alternate Bid Materials Remarks Remarks 1) MRI Polytech Gym , 7mm PU Made in London, good quality . Too Expensive Floor system is available of range of specs
Basic Bid Materials Alternate Bid Materials Remarks Alternate Bid Materials Remarks
Basic Bid Materials Alternate Bid Materials Remarks
Basic Bid Materials Alternate Bid Materials Remarks
Materials Offered for the Basic and Alternate bids: Oceanview Gymnasium Repairs IFB 008-2009 (Re Bid)

