20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

FISHER & ASSOCIATES

Suite 101 De La Corte Building 167 East Marine Corp. Drive Hagåtña, Guam 96910 Telephone: (671) 472-1131

Facsimile: (671) 472-2886

RECEIVED

OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY PROCUREMENT APPEALS

DATE: 10/14/13

TIME: 2.55 DAM DEM BY: 7-4

FILE NO OPA-PA: 13-079

BEFORE THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY GOVERNMENT OF GUAM

IN THE APPEAL OF:

JMI,

Appellant.

CASE NO: OPA-PA 13-009

GMHA'S OPPOSITION TO JMI'S BRIEF REGARDING TIMELINESS OF PROTEST, STATUTORY STAY, AND FUNDING SOURCES

COMES NOW the Guam Memorial Hospital Authority ("GMHA"), by and through counsel of record Fisher & Associates, and submits its Opposition to JMI's Brief Regarding Timeliness of Protest, Statutory Stay, and Funding Sources.

I. JMI'S PROTEST WAS NOT TIMELY.

JMI attempts to split hairs regarding the timeliness of its protest by arguing that because the June 6, 2013 bid status notice from the GMHA did not state that the bid would be awarded to MedPharm, JMI did not know it was aggrieved until receipt of the June 7, 2013 bid status notice. In fact, the only difference between the June 6 and 7 bid status notices is the inclusion of the phrase "namely MedPharm." Otherwise, both the June 6 and 7 bid status notices addressed to JMI (for which JMI acknowledges receipt) are practically identical. Both clearly mark the box which states: "The bid has been rejected in the best interest of the Guam Memorial Hospital for the reasons including, but not limited to:...(X) Nonconformance with specifications. Option 1...(X) High Price. Option 2" Further, on the second page of both notices, another marked box details: "...JMI-Edison Option 1 was not as specified on bid requirements and Option 2 bid was not the lowest." See Decl. of John Ilao, Exhibits B

and C, filed October7, 2013.

The right to protest lies with "[a]ny actual or prospective bidder, offeror, or contractor who *may be aggrieved* in connection with the method of source selection, solicitation or award of a contract." 5 GCA § 5425(a) (Emphasis added.) The record is clear that JMI had notice of GMHA's intent to award the procurement to another bidder on June 6, 2013. Counting from the date of said notice, JMI had 14 days to file its protest – by June 20, 2013. In this case, JMI did not file its protest until June 21, 2013 and was therefore untimely.

JMI's alternate argument that its protest would have been timely filed 14 days after receiving response to its Sunshine Act request from GMHA is without merit. Under Guam law, protests shall be submitted within 14 days after a party "knows or should know of the facts giving rise thereto." 5 GCA§ 5425(a); see also 26 GAR §§ 16901(c)(1); Guam Imaging Consultants, Inc. v. GMHA, 2004 Guam 15. Pursuant to 2 GAR § 3109(l)(2), opened bids are available for public inspection. JMI's contention that it needed "confirming factual basis" before filing its protest fails because JMI made its Sunshine Act request on the same document in which it filed its June 21, 2013 protest. See Agency Procurement Record at Tab I(a). JMI was obviously able to file its protest regarding the contents of MedPharm's submission before the GMHA responded to JMI's Sunshine Act request.

II. AN AUTOMATIC STAY WAS NOT TRIGGERED.

JMI's protest was not timely and did trigger an automatic stay pursuant to 5 GCA § 5425(g). Even if JMI's protest was timely, the GMHA did not award the contract to MedPharm until after JMI's protest was rejected. JMI contends it first became aware the award was contracted at hearing held on September 27, 2013. However, a copy of the purchase order was provided to JMI on August 14, 2013, as part of GMHA's Agency Report. See Agency Report at Tab V.

As analyzed in GMHA's Brief filed October 7, 2013, there was no automatic stay triggered as a result of JMI's appeal to the OPA because the GMHA completed its award between its rejection of JMI's protest on July 17, 2013 and JMI's appeal to the OPA on August 7, 2013. *See In the Appeal of Guam Publications, Inc.*, OPA-PA-08-007.

Based on the foregoing, the GMHA submits that JMI's protest was not timely filed if calculated from bid opening on October 19, 2013, or if calculated from notice of bid status on June 6, 2013. An automatic stay was not triggered and GMHA properly awarded the contract.

Respectfully submitted this 14th day of October 2013.

FISHER & ASSOCIATES

MINAKSHI V. HEMLANI, ESQ.