25

26

27

28

OFFICE OF AGENT AG

1-01 Hz

GUAM DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Rebecca M. Perez, Legal Counsel P.O. Box DE

Hagåtña, Guam 96910 Telephone (671) 300-1537 Email: legal-admin@gdoe.net

Attorney for Guam Department of Education

BEFORE THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY PROCUREMENT APPEAL

IN THE APPEAL OF

APPEAL NO. OPA-PA-11-017

KIM BROS. CONSTRUCTION CORP.,

MOTION TO DISMISS

Appellant.

The Guam Department of Education ("GDOE") hereby files this Motion to Dismiss this Appeal on the basis that Appellant filed no protest and there is no decision from which an appeal can be made. This motion is supported by the Memorandum of Points and Authorities below:

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

This matter is not properly on appeal before the Office of Public Accountability as no protest was lodged by Kim Bros with GDOE and there is no decision from which an appeal may be made. Attached to Kim Bros' Notice of Appeal is a letter dated September 26, 2011 to the GDOE Supply Management Administrator. In its letter, Appellant lists a timeline of events regarding its communications with GDOE about the potential cancellation of IFB024-2011. Appellant starts its letter with the phrase, "[t]his letter is to inform you that we are complaining on *the way your office responded to the inquiries* of our company with regards to the above subject project." (Emphasis added.). Nowhere in the letter does it state that Kim Bros was *protesting the handling of the IFB*. In fact, Appellant threatened to take the process on a path for legal recourse by stating, "[s]hould GDOE ignore this letter, we will have no other recourse

for legal recourse by stating, "[s]hould GDOE ignore this letter, we will have no other recourse but to seek legal assistance and claim expenses incurred to include, among others, cost of estimating, bid bond fee, attorney's fee and other miscellaneous cost." Appellant's letter is not a protest, but merely information that Appellant was unhappy with how GDOE handled its inquiries. Additionally, if one were to rely on Appellant's contention that Notice was not given to it about the cancellation of IFB024-2011, upon actual notice that the IFB had been cancelled (which one must assume Kim Bros had before filing this appeal), the first step required under applicable procurement regulations would have been to file an appeal with GDOE (See generally 5 GAR §5425 which allows an aggrieved actual or prospective bidder to protest a procurement decision to a procuring agency, then upon receipt of a decision from the agency, to appeal that decision to the Public Auditor.) As Kim Bros filed no protest with GDOE, there is no decision by the agency, and therefore no decision to be appealed to the Public Auditor. GDOE therefore requests the OPA to dismiss the present appeal in its entirety on the basis that there is no agency decision from which to hear an appeal.

For the reasons above, GDOE requests the OPA to find that this Appeal should be dismissed in its entirety.

Dated this 10th day of January, 2012.

Respectfully submitted,

GUAM DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

By:

REBECCA M. PEREZ, ESQ.

Legal Counsel

Page 2 of 2