OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY Doris Flores Brooks, CPA, CGFM Public Auditor

April 25, 2016

Mr. Jon Nathan Denight General Manager Guam Visitors Bureau 401 Pale San Vitores Road Tumon, Guam 96913

VIA FACSIMILE: (671) 646-8861

Re: Notice of Receipt of Appeal – OPA-PA-16-003

Dear Mr. Denight,

Please be advised that TLK Marketing Co., Ltd. (TLK Marketing) filed an appeal with the Office of Public Accountability (OPA) on April 22, 2016 regarding the Guam Visotors Bureau's (GVB) response to TLK Marketing's protest relative to Request for Proposal No.: GVB RFP No. 2016-006; a procurement solicitation for Tourism Destination Marketing Representation Services in the Republic of Korea for GVB. OPA has assigned this appeal case number OPA-PA-16-003.

Immediate action is required of GVB pursuant to the Rules of Procedure for Procurement Appeals, found in Chapter 12 of the Guam Administrative Regulations (GAR). Copies of the rules, the appeal, and all filing deadlines are available at OPA's office and on its website at www.opaguam.org. The notice of appeal filed with OPA is enclosed for your reference.

Please provide the required notice of this appeal to the relative parties with instructions that they should communicate directly with OPA regarding the appeals. You are also responsible for giving notice to the Attorney General or other legal counsel for your agency. Promptly provide OPA with the identities and addresses of interested parties and a formal entry of appearance by your legal counsel.

Pursuant to 2 GAR, Div. 4, Ch. 12, §12104(3), please submit one complete copy of the procurement record for the procurement solicitation above, as outlined in Title 5, Chapter 5, §5249 of the Guam Code Annotated, to OPA by **Monday**, **May 2, 2016**, five work days following receipt of this notice of appeal; and one copy of the Agency Report for each of the procurement solicitations cited above, as outlined in 2 GAR, Div. 4, Chap. 12, §12105, by **Monday**, **May 9**, **2016**, ten work days following receipt of this notice of appeal.

When filing all other required documents with our office, please provide one original and two copies to OPA, and serve a copy to GuamWEBZ. In addition, OPA respectfully asks that GVB provide one original and two copies of the procurement record and agency report as the Guam Procurement Law and Regulations require only one copy. The three procurement record copies requested by OPA are distributed as follows: Copy-1: Master File; Copy-2: Public Auditor; and Copy-3: Hearing Officer.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter. Please contact Yuka Hechanova at 475-0390 ext. 210, or yhechanova@guamopa.com, should you have any questions regarding this notice.

Sincerely,

Yuka Hechanova Audit Supervisor

Enclosure: First Nine Pages of Notice of Appeal – OPA-PA-16-003

Cc: Joyce C.H. Tang, Attorney for TLK Marketing

ORIGINAL

1 2 3 4	JOYCE C.H. TANG JOSHUA D. WALSH CIVILLE & TANG PLLC 330 Hernan Cortez Avenue Ste. 200 Hagatna, Guam 96910 Tel: (671) 472-8868/9 Fax: (671) 477-2511	RECEIVED OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY PROCUREMENT APPEALS DATE: 04.22.16 TIME: 4.20 DAM PM BY: 17			
5		FILE NO OPA-PA: 16-003			
6					
7	PROCUREMENT APPEAL				
8	IN THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY				
9					
10					
11	In the Appeal of	DOCKET NO. OPA-PA			
12					
13	TLK Marketing Co., Ltd.				
14	Appellant.				
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21	,				
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
		1			

1	TLK MARKETING CO., LTD. ("TLK") hereby appeals a decision rendered by the Guam				
2	Visitors Bureau ("GVB"), an agency of the Government of Guam, on April 8, 2016 denying the Bid				
3	Protest raised buy TLK relative to RFP No. 2016-006 seeking proposals from "professional and				
4	experienced companies" to be GVB's marketing representative in Korea.				
5					
6			I.	APPELLANT INFORMATION	
7	Name:		TLK Marketing Co., Ltd.		
8	Mailing A	Mailing Address: Rm 616 Koryo Bldg.,			
9			24, Sir	nmunro 1-Ga, Jongro-Gu,	
10			Seoul,	Korea 110-796	
11	Business	Business Address: same address indicated above			
12					
13	For purposes of this appeal, please direct correspondence to TLK's counsel, Joyce C.H. Tang,				
14	Esq. (jtang@civilletang.com), Civille & Tang, PLLC, 330 Hernan Corrtez Avenue Suite 200,				
15	Hagatna, Guam 96910. Telephone: 671/472-8868; Facsimile: 671/477-2511.				
16					
17			II.	APPEAL INFORMATION	
18	A. P	urchasing Agency:		Guam Visitors Bureau	
19	B. C	ontract No:		GVB RFP No. 2016-006 (TOURISM DESTINATION	
20				MARKETING REPRESENTATION SERVICES IN THE	
21				REPUBLIC OF KOREA)	
22	C. I	Date of Contract:		Solicitation issued on November 25, 2015	
23	D. T	D. This appeal is made from the Guam Visitors Bureau denial of TLK's March 24, 2016 protest			
24	issued by	the GVB on April 8	3, 2016.		
25	E. T	E. The name of competing bidders known to appellant are: PROMACC, EDELMANN, and HIC			
26	INC.				
27					
28					

III. RELEVANT PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The Guam Visitor's Bureau ("GVB") issued RFP No. 2016-006 on November 25, 2015 seeking proposals from "professional and experienced companies" to be GVB's marketing representative in Korea. *See*, GVB RFP No. 2016-006 ("RFP"), attached hereto as **Exhibit A**. In order for an Offeror to qualify, the RFP requires, among other things, that the Proposer establish that it is a "qualified professional tourism destination marketing agency ("Agency") with a minimum of 5 years extensive and consistent experience working with the Republic of Korea travel trade, close relationship with the Korean government and the US Embassy...." *See*, §1.1, Exhibit A. GVB erroneously selected an offeror that failed to meet the minimum experience requirements. The term of the contract under the RFP is for an initial term of one year, with two additional one year options to renew, for a maximum contract period of three years.

On March 10, 2016, the Appellant, TLK, received a letter from GVB notifying TLK that GVB's evaluation committee "reviewed and evaluated the proposals and has selected another company as the best qualified offeror." *See*, Letter dated March 10, 2016, attached hereto as **Exhibit B.** Attached to the March 10, 2016 ranking letter from GVB was an evaluation summary which showed that HIC ranked number one out of four offerors. *Id.* HIC later discovered from documents produced by GVB in response to Sunshine Act Requests that GVB had sent a Notice of Award letter dated March 9, 2016 to HIC. On the same day that TLK received the ranking letter from GVB, TLK, which was under contract with GVB to provide tourism destination marketing services, also received a letter from GVB terminating its current month-to-month contract. *See*, Letter dated March 10, 2016, attached hereto as **Exhibit C**.

Within fourteen (14) days of learning that HIC was ranked as the highest offeror, TLK timely filed a protest on March 24, 2016 pursuant to 2 GAR Div. 4 §9101. *See*, TLK Protest dated 3/24/16, attached as **Exhibit D.** TLK's protest was based the fact that HIC has been in existence for less than

five years, and therefore, did not meet the minimum five (5) year threshold experience requirement in the RFP. HIC's proposal was non-responsive and should have been rejected by GVB. *Id.* at 2.

On April 8, 2016 GVB denied TLK's protest on timeliness ground. *See*, Letter dated April 8, 2016, attached as **Exhibit E**. The one page denial letter states that "...TLK marketing received and was aware (or should have been aware) of the content of the RFP as well as the method of procurement more than fourteen (14) days prior to the submission of its Protest. TLK's Protest is therefore untimely." *Id*. The denial letter did not address HIC's lack of experience and the non-responsive proposal, only noting in passing that "[GVB] do[es] not agree." *Id*. GVB then declared—without any factual support or authority— that "the Successful offeror has sufficient experience in and relating to the relevant field(s), meets qualifications, and has received an award of a contract in accordance with the RFP and Guam law and regulations." *Id*. GVB's denial of TLK's protest is baseless and without merit. ¹

IV. STATEMENT OF GROUNDS FOR APPEAL

A. GVB's Claim that TLK's Protest is Untimely is Wrong, and Undermines the Procurement Process.

GVB claims that TLK's protest is untimely because "TLK marketing received and was aware (or should have been aware) of the content of the RFP as well as the method of procurement more than fourteen (14) days prior to the submission of its Protest." *See,* Exhibit E at 1. It is clear that TLK's protest centers on the selection of HIC— a fact that TLK did not learn about until it received the letter of ranking on March 10, 2016. The protest was filed on March 24, 2016, within fourteen

¹ GVB's vague and uninformative protest decision is a form of decision that is disfavored. In *In the Appeal of JMI Edison*, Decision, OPA-PA-13-009, 5 (November 27, 2013), the OPA admonished GMH, the relevant agency, for issuing a protest decision that did not address the merits of the protestant's challenge. Here, the agency has addressed the merits of the protest by simply opining "we do not agree." Notably, Mr. Tom Fisher represented GMH in that OPA matter, and also represents GVB in this appeal.

(14) days of receiving the March 10, 2016 ranking letter. GVB mention of evalution methodology obscures the actual nature of this protest. A simple reading of the protest shows that this protest is not about the evaluation methodology, it is only about the selection of HIC.

TLK's protest could not have been filed any earlier than March 10, 2016, when GVB sent the ranking letter to TLK. Guam Procurement Law provides that only an "aggrieved" party may file a procurement protest. See, 5 GCA 5425(a). TLK was not aggrieved until it received information that a non-responsive offeror—HIC— was ranked highest of four offerors. In the Appeal of Guam Community Improvement Foundation, Inc. [vs DPW], OPA-PA-09-005, the Public Auditor clearly stated that "a losing bidder is an aggrieved bidder." TLK was not a losing bidder until GVB informed TLK that HIC was the highest ranked offeror and its decision to select HIC on March 10, 2016. See also Tumon Corporation v. Guam Memorial Hospital Authority, CV 1420-01, 3, Decision and Order October 22, 2001, (Superior Court of Guam). ("(Offeror is an aggrieved offeror because it was not selected as the best qualified offeror.")

There is no question that TLK timely filed its protest fourteen (14) days after receiving the March 10th ranking letter.

B. GVB's Is Wrong In Its Assertion That HIC "has sufficient experience" And "meets qualifications" Of The RFP.

In its summary denial letter, GVB did not state any factual or other basis countering TLK's position that HIC failed to meet the definitive five (5) year experience requirement. In response to TLK's Sunshine Act request, GVB provided HIC's proposal, but, TLK believes there are other responsive documents that have not been produced by GVB.

Moreover, GVB's response to TLK's March 24, 2016 Sunshine Act Request shows that HIC mispresented its experience by relying on an unrelated entity, "SD Pharm", to meet the 5 Year experience requirement of the RFP. *See*, HIC Proposal at p. 10, attached as **Exhibit F.** HIC failed to disclose in its proposal that HIC was registered as a company in Korea on August 31, 2011, which

means at the time of the submission of its Proposal (February 5, 2016), it had only been established for 4 years and 5 months. Page 10 of HIC's Proposal sets forth the Corporate History of HIC:

Corporate History

- Founded "SD Pharm" (In Incheon)
- Signed marketing services contracts with Yuhan Corporation, Jeil Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.
 and Daehan Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.

- Singed marketing services agreement with LG Life Sciences

- Singed marketing services agreement with CJ

- Signed marketing services agreement and right of publicity contract with KPBPA

- Signed Exchange Agreement of College-Industry Cooperation with the Catholic University of Korea

- Entered into an agreement as GVB's Korea PR Agency

The Corporate History does not satisfy the experience requirement. The reference to SD Pharm in 2006 as HIC's commencement date is irrelevant as to HIC's attempt to establish a five (5) year existence and/or experience. The reference to "Founded 'SD Pharm" is also misleading and wrong. HIC did not disclose what the relationship is between SD Pharm and HIC, and does not explain why SD Pharm's experience should be considered in determining the qualifications of HIC.

HIC's failure to disclose the fact that HIC had not been in existence for at least 5 years at the time its proposal was submitted, and the misleading statements made in reference to SD Pharm in its proposal are separate and independent grounds for disqualifying HIC and finding that HIC was not qualified. *See*, §1.1, RFP (an Offeror must have "a minimum of 5 years extensive and consistent experience working with the Republic of Korea travel trade, close relationship with the Korean government and the US Embassy....").

C. GVB Has Violated The Automatic Stay Requirements Mandated by 5 GCA 5425(g).

TLK's timely protest triggered the automatic stay pmandated by 5 GCA §5425(g). 5 GCA §5425(g) provides, in relevant part, that "in the event of a timely protest... the Territory shall not proceed further with the solicitation of with the award of the contract prior to final resolution of such protest, and any such further action is void, unless there is a written determination by the Chief Procurement Officer with the written concurrent of the head of the purchasing agency and the Attorney General, that the award of the contract without delay is necessary to protect the substantial interests of the Territory. . . ." 5 GCA § 5425(g)(1). In previous decisions, the OPA has made it clear to the agencies that the automatic stay is triggered by a timely filed protest, and remains in place during the entire protest process, including any appeals to the courts of Guam. See In the Appeal of JMI Edison, Decision, OPA-PA-13-009, 5 (November 27, 2013).

Here, GVB, in an effort to circumvent the §5425(g) stay, colluded with HIC to negotiate, award and execute a contract **prior to informing the other offerors that a selection was even** made.

D. TLK Will Be Irreparably Harmed if GVB Were Allowed to Usurp the OPA's Authority and Circumvent The Guam Procurement Laws.

Guam law is clear that if Appellant TLK is successful in its protest, TLK "shall be entitled to the reasonable costs incurred in connection with the solicitation and protest, including bid preparation costs, excluding attorney's fees...." 5 GCA §5425(h). GVB and HIC's collusive acts to effectuate a secret selection, negotiation and award, was a deliberate attempt to deprive TLK of its rights under the Guam Procurement law. Furthermore, the possibility of TLK to be awarded the contract is also cut off, because the GVB Board can try to ratify and affirm the putative HIC's contract, regardless of the outcome of the instant appeal. 5 GCA § 5425(a)(1); (2). As more Korean tourism marketing and visitor events are organized by HIC, TLK becomes less and less likely of having a meaningful outcome to its appeal. Since TLK will only be able to recover the costs of its bid if the stay is not

honored and its protest appeal is sustained by the OPA, TLK will be irreparably injured. Irreparable injury is defined as injury for which there is no adequate remedy at law. Shin v. Fujita Kanko Guam, Inc., CVA 07-002, 2007 WL 4348300 (Guam Dec. 6, 2007); Reilly's Wholesale Produce v. United States, 73 Fed. Cl. 705, 716-17 (Fed. Cl. 2006). The Federal Claims court has held that where an aggrieved offeror can only gain the costs of bid preparation in a suit for damages, and not anticipated profits, such a bid protester is irreparably harmed. See Bannum, Inc. v. United States, 60 Fed. Cl. 718, 730 (Fed. Cl. 2004) citing Essex Electro Eng'rs, Inc. v. United States, 3 Cl.Ct. 277, 287 (1983), aff'd, 757 F.2d 247 (Fed.Cir.1985). This is the exact situation faced by TLK. An order from the OPA confirming that the automatic stay is in effect and enjoining GVB from further violations of the automatic stay is necessary to protect TLK's rights and to protect the integrity of the procurement system.

IV. RELIEF REQUESTED BY TLK

Appealing Offeror TLK Requests a ruling from the OPA as follows:

- 1. That agencies of the Government of Guam may not usurp the procurement process and the protections of 5 GCA 5425(g) by negotiating and entering into a contract with a selected offeror prior to informing other offerors that they have not been selected for negotiation and award;
- 2. For a ruling that all offerors responding to a Request for Proposal or other similar procurement process be informed at the same time, and that a selection was made by an Agency;
- 3. That the ranking of HIC as the highest ranked offeror for GVB RFP No. 2016-006 be set aside;
- 4. A declaration that the Contract negotiated and executed between GVB and HIC be declared void *ab initio* and set aside;
- 5. A determination that as the first ranked responsive offeror, GVB should immediately begin negotiations with TLK and award the contract to TLK;

- 6. For an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs of this protest and appeal; and
- 7. For such other relief that the OPA may determine is just and proper.

By:

Dated: April 22, 2016

Joyce C.H. Tang

Attorneys for Appellant

TLK Marketing

Suite 401 DNA Building 238 Archbishop Flores St. Hagåtña, Guam 96910





То:	Jon Nathan Denight General Manager Guam Visitors Bureau 401 Pale San Vitores Road Tumon, Guam 96913	From:	Doris Flores Brooks Guam Public Auditor Office of Public Accountability							
Phone: Fax:	(671) 646-5278 (671) 646-8861	Pages:	12 (including cover page)							
CC:	Joyce C.H. Tang, Esq. Civille & Tang, PLLC 330 Hernan Cortez Avenue Suite 200 Hagatna, Guam 96910 (Attorney for TLK Marketing)	Date:	April 25, 2016							
Phone: Fax:	,		(671) 475-0390 x. 203 (671) 472-7951							
Re:	Re: OPA-PA-16-003 Notice of Receipt of Appeal									
□ Urgent	□ Urgent □ For Review □ Please Comment ✔ Please Reply □ Please Recycle									
Comments:										
Please acknowledge receipt of this transmittal by re-sending this cover page along with your firm or agency's receipt stamp, date, and initials of receiver.										
Thank you,										
	Jerrick Hernandez									
Auditor										
	jhernandez@guamopa.org									

This facsimile transmission and accompanying documents may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient of this fax transmission, please call our office and notify us immediately. Do not distribute or disclose the contents to anyone. Thank you.