GOVE OF GUAM RETIPE TO THE MED

CARLSMITH BALL LLP

2007 MIG 17 PM 4: 46

ELYZE J. McDONALD CAREY M. AUSTIN Bank of Hawaii Bldg., Suite 401 134 West Soledad Avenue, P.O. Box BF Hagåtña, Guam 96932-5027 Tel No. 671.472.6813

RECEIVED

RECEIVED
OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC AUDITOR
PROCUREMENT APPEALS

AUG 17 2007 ME: 425 fn : 87

Attorneys for Appellee Government of Guam Retirement Fund

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC AUDITOR

GUAM

IN THE APPEAL OF

GREAT-WEST RETIREMENT SERVICES,

Appellant.

DOCKET NO. OPA-PA-07-006

APPELLEE GOVERNMENT OF GUAM RETIREMENT FUND'S OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S MOTION TO LIFT SEAL AND COMPEL PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT THEREOF

Appellee, the Government of Guam Retirement Fund ("GGRF"), by and through its undersigned counsel hereby submits its Opposition to Appellant's Motion to Lift Seal and Compel Production of Documents filed on August 13, 2007. Said Opposition is supported by the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

APPELLANT HAS NOT CITED PROPER AUTHORITY TO BRING ITS MOTION TO LIFT SEAL OR COMPEL PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AS REQUESTED

Appellant Great-West Retirement Service ("GWRS") brings its Motion to Lift Seal and Compel Production of Documents pursuant to 2 G.A.R. Div. 4, Chap. 12 § 12109(c). While 2



G.A.R. Div. 4, Chap. 12 § 12109(c) does give the Public Auditor the ability to compel production of documents for examination, this provision is applicable only if documents have not been submitted for examination. The Appellee, Government of Guam Retirement Fund ("GGRF") has already produced all proper documents for examination by the Public Auditor in accordance with law, only seeking that confidential documents which are not yet part of the public record be reviewed in camera and not disclosed to GWRS or the public at large. This was done properly by notation on the front page of the Procurement Record and in accordance with 2 G.A.R. Div. 4, Chap. 12 § 12106.

The Public Auditor may release such documents where an interested party requests such release in writing, *except* as to documents submitted as containing confidential or proprietary information. *Id.* The only procedure provided in a procurement appeal before the Public Auditor for compelling the production of documents is to make a request in writing in accordance with 2 G.A.R Div. 4, Chap. 12 § 12106 cited above; and this request remains subject to the agency's request to maintain confidentiality. Therefore, GWRS's motion is not a proper filing or a proper request under the procurement regulations.

EVEN IF THE PUBLIC AUDITOR MAY ENTERTAIN GWRS'S MOTION, SUCH MOTION SHOULD NOT BE GRANTED DUE TO THE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE DOCUMENTS.

A. GUAM'S PROCUREMENT LAWS AND REGULATIONS MANDATE NONDISCLOSURE OF THE REQUESTED DOCUMENTS AS THEY ARE NOT PART OF THE PUBLIC RECORD.

GWRS complains on one hand that GGRF illegally disclosed information about it, but now seeks disclosure of confidential information pertaining to other offerors. GGRF has been extremely careful to protect the proprietary nature of all offerors during this appeal by submitting proprietary or confidential documents to the auditor for in camera review. It is by law GGRF's duty to maintain such confidentiality and thereby protect the integrity of the procurement

process. 2 G.A.R. Div. 4, Chap. 3, § 3114. Although GWRS cites 5 GCA § 5249 and § 5251 to support their argument that the procurement record is part of the public record, it fails to mention that 5 GCA §5252 makes it clear that the public record is subject to necessary protection of the bidding process and the protection of the confidentiality of trade secrets. Additionally, while the procurement record is generally a public record open for review and copying, 2 G.A.R. Div. 4, Chap. 3, § 12104(c)(6) states that if any portion thereof is considered to contain confidential information, the same may be withheld by affixing a statement to this effect on the front page of the document and noting the same wherever such document or information appears. GGRF followed the law exactly in submitting the procurement record, including the confidential portions thereof.

The fact is that the confidential material sought by GWRS will not become part of the public record unless and until the project has been awarded to ASC or the final awardee. 2 G.A.R. Div 4, Chap. 3, § 3114 governs the receipt and handling of proposals. 2 G.A.R. Div. 4, Chap. 3, § 3114(h)(l) states that the Register of Proposals becomes part of the public record only after the award of the contract and that the proposals of offerors who are not awarded the contract shall not be opened to public inspection. At this point no award has been made and the negotiations that have occurred between GGRF and GWRS and ASC contain proposals that GGRF is by law not at liberty to disclose.

Furthermore, 2 G.A.R. Div. 4, Chap. 3 § 3114(i)(2) requires that discussions with one offeror not be disclosed to another offeror and "the agency conducting the procurement shall not disclose <u>any information</u> contained in <u>any proposals</u> until after award of the proposed contract has been made. (Emphasis added.) At this point, disclosure of other offerors' proposals, even in the context of negotiation, could jeopardize the integrity of the procurement process. Just as

negotiations failed with GWRS, they could likewise fail with other qualified offerors. Revealing negotiations with other offerors before an award is made would give other offerors an unfair advantage in negotiations, to the detriment of GGRF, and is specifically prohibited by law. Likewise, disclosing GGRF's research regarding comparative third party fees also would compromise the process. Thus, to enable GGRF to obtain the best pricing for the services sought, it is critical for GGRF to maintain the confidentiality of all proposals, including those proposals made during negotiations, as well as the information and basis upon which GGRF bases its own negotiations such as the comparisons of other third party administrator fees. No award has been made and no proposals or information contained in any proposal from any offeror can be released as part of the public record until award is made. The nondisclosure of offeror information is so closely guarded that even after an award is made the release of certain information can be accomplished only with the prior written consent of the offeror. See 2 G.A.R. Div. 4, Chap. 3, § 3116(b).

B. THE DOCUMENTS REQUESTED ARE EXPRESSLY PROTECTED BY LAW AND THEREBY EXEMPT FROM GUAM'S FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT.

Appellant correctly states that Guam's Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") allows for inspection by the public of public documents, *except* as otherwise expressly prohibited in law and *except* as provided in § 10108 of FOIA. Appellant's argument fails in its application because the documents requested are exempt from FOIA for two reasons: (1) they are not public documents; and (2) they are expressly protected by law.

First, as already discussed in detail above, the documents sought by Appellant are not yet part of the public record and so cannot be public documents. Should negotiations fail, some of these documents may never become part of the public record because proposals of offerors not awarded the contract are not open to public inspection. 2 G.A.R. Div. 4, Chap. 3, § 3114(h)(l).

Second, as also discussed above, 2 G.A.R., Div. 4, Chap. 3, § 3114(i)(2) expressly

prohibits the disclosures of any information pertaining to any proposal until after an award is

made. This information is also expressly protected from disclosure by law under the mandate

that government agencies properly handle proposals and information relayed to them in the

procurement process so as to protect the integrity of the process. Although FOIA does have a

broad application and seeks to make proper public documents accessible, it also contemplates

exemption when a document is confidential because of either its content or because of timing

and the process surrounding it. This can be seen in the express provision of exceptions to the

FOIA disclosure provided in 5 GCA § 10103 and § 10108(i).

CONCLUSION

GGRF has diligently complied with all procurement rules and regulations regarding the

bidding process and the confidentiality of information submitted by bidders. GGRF also has

abided by the procurement appeal procedures by providing these confidential documents to the

Public Auditor for in camera review. The Public Auditor should assist GGRF in maintaining the

integrity of the procurement process by maintaining the confidentiality of these documents and

denying Appellants' Motion to Lift Seal and Compel Documents.

SUBMITTED this 17th day of August, 2007, Hagåtña, Guam.

CARLSMITH BALL LLP

ELYZE J. MCDONALD CAREY M. AUSTIN

Attorneys for Appellee GOVERNMENT OF GUAM RETIREMENT FUND

Suite 401 Pacific News Building 238 Archbishop Flores St. Hagåtña, Guam 96910

Phone: (671) 475-0390 FAX: (671) 472-7951



Fax

10:	Elyze J. Micuonalo, E	sq. r	rrom:	i neresa Gumat	BOIBO	
	Joaquin C. Arriola, Jr.	Esq.		OPA Procureme	ent Appeals	
Agency:	Carlsmith Ball LLP		Pages	2 (Including co	over)	
***************************************	Arriola, Cowan, & Arri	ola	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
CC:		r	Dato:	Thursday - 1/10	W08	
Fax:	477-4375 / 477-9734	F	Phone:	472-6813 / 477-	9730	
Re:	Substitution of C	ounsel: OPA-PA	4-07-0	06		
□ Urgont	X For Review	☐ Please Comme	nt XI	Please Reply	☐ Please Recycle	
•Comme:	ıts:					
See notic	e attached and plea	ase acknowledge r	eceipt	by re-sending	this cover page with y	our
firm's sta	mp, date, and initia	ls. Thank you.				
		Th:	eresa –	475-0390 tguma	aotao@guamopa.org	

This facsimile transmission and accompanying documents may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient of this fax transmission, please call our office and notify us immediately. Do not distribute or disclose the contents to anyone. Thank you,

1 2 3 4 5	LAW OFFICES OF SOMERFLECK & CAMACHO Suite 808, 8th Floor GCIC Building 414 West Soledad Ave. Hagatna, Guam, 96913 Telephone: (671) 477-8051 Fax: (671) 477-5312 E-Mail: arcesq@hotmail.com ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLANT Great-West Retirement Services CAMACHO OFFICE OF 18 OF ARC AUDITOR PROCUPTION ARC AUD
7	OFFICE OF THE DUDI IC ATDITION
8	OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC AUDITOR
9	GUAM
10	IN THE APPEAL OF) Appeal No. OPA-PA-07-006
11	GREAT-WEST RETIREMENT SERVICES, SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL
12	}
13	Appellant.)
14	COMES NOW, Appellant GREAT-WEST RETIREMENT SERVICES, who hereby
15	substitutes THE THOMAS McKEE TARPLEY LAW FIRM P.C., as its counsel of record in thi
16	matter in the place and stead of THE LAW OFFICES OF SOMERFLECK & CAMACHO. All
17	correspondence and pleadings concerning this matter addressed to Appellant shall be sent to:
18	Thomas M. Tarpley, Jr., Esq.
19	GCIC Building 414 W. Soledad Avenue, Suite 904
20	Hagatna, Guam, 96910 , Phone: (671) 472-1539
21	Fax: (671) 472-4526 E-mail: tarpley@guam.net
22	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED AND APPROVED this 8th day of January 2008 by
23	2000 by
24	
25	THOMAS M TARRIEV ID ESO
26	THOMAS M. TARPLEY, JR., ESQ. Attorney for Appellant Great-West Retirement Services
27	
28	ANTHONY R. CAMACHO, ESQ.
	ORIGINAL

Suite 401 Pacific News Building 238 Archbishop Flores St. Hagåtña, Guam 96910

Phone: (671) 475-0390 FAX: (671) 472-7951





To:	Elyze J. McDonald, Esq.	From:	Theresa Gurnataotao	
	Thomas M. Tarpley, Esq.		Theresa Gumataotao OPA Procurement Appeals	
	Joaquin C. Arriola, Jr. Esq.			
Agency:	Carlsmith Ball LLP	Pages	3 (Including cover)	
	Law Offices of Thomas M. Tarpley			
	Arriola, Cowan, & Arriola			
CC:	Paula Blas – GGRF Director	Date:	Thursday - 1/10/08	
Fax:	477-4375 / 472-4526/ 477-9734 / 475-8922	Phone:	472-6813 / 472-1539 / 477-9730 / 475-8950	
Re:	Notice of Hearing: OPA-PA-07	-006		
□ Urgent	X For Review 🗆 Please Comm	nent X	Please Reply 🔲 Please Recycle	
•Comme	nts:		,	
See Notic	ce attached and please acknowledg	e receip	t by re-sending this cover page alon	g with
the Notic	e. Pls. stamp both with your firm's	stamp, c	late, and initials. Thank you.	
		Freresa -	- 475-0390 tgumataotao@guamopa.org	
			·	

This facsimile transmission and accompanying documents may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient of this fax transmission, please call our office and notify us immediately. Do not distribute or disclose the contents to anyone. Thank you.



PROCUREMENT APPEALS

In the Appeal of	NOTICE OF HEARING
)	NOTICE OF HEARING
GREAT WEST RETIREMENT SERVICES,)	Appeal No.: OPA-PA-07-006
APPELLANT.)	

TO:

Elyze J. McDonald
Carlsmith Ball LLP
Bank of Hawaii Bldg., Suite 401
134 West Soledad Avenue, P.O. Box BF
Hagatna, Guam 96932-5027
Attorneys for the Government of Guam Retirement Fund - Appellee

VIA FACSIMILE:

671-477-4375

Paula M. Blas
Director
Government of Guam Retirement Fund
424 Route 8 - Maite, Guam 96910

VIA FACSIMILE:

671-475-8922

Thomas M. Tarpley, Jr. Esq.
GCIC Building
414 W. Soledad Avenue, Suite 904
Hagatna, Guam 96910
Attorneys for Great-West Retirement Services – Appellant

VIA FACSIMILE:

671-472-4526

Joaquin C. Arriola, Jr., Esq.
Arriola, Cowan, & Arriola
259 Martyr Street, Suite 201
C&A Building
Hagatna, Guam 96932
Attorneys for Administrative Services Corporation – Interested Party

VIA FACSIMILE: 671-477-9734

Messers & Mscs McDonald, Blas, Tarpley, and Arriola,

ORIGINAL

You are hereby notified that a Hearing will be held on Monday January 21, 2008, at 10AM before the Public Auditor's representative Lourdes R. Perez and the appointed Hearing Officer for Procurement Appeals, Robert G.P. Cruz, relative to the above-referenced Procurement Appeal. The Hearing will be held at the Department of Integrated Services for Individuals with Disabilities (DISID), DVR Conference Room, located on the 6th floor of the Pacific News Building at 238 Archbishop Flores Street, Hagatna Guam 96910. You may be present at the Hearing, but may be or need not be represented by counsel and may present any relevant evidence. You are entitled to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the appointed Hearing Officer for Procurement Appeals at the Office of the Public Auditor.

This Notice of Hearing is issued this 10th day of January 2008, by the Office the Public Auditor. Please acknowledge receipt of this Notice and return to the Office of the Public Auditor.

Acknowledged receipt:

Receiver's Signature

PAULA M. BLAS

Print Name

01-11-08

Date

CARLSMITH BALL LLP

ELYZE J. McDONALD Bank of Hawaii Bldg., Suite 401 134 West Soledad Avenue, P.O. Box BF Hagåtña, Guam 96932-5027 Tel No. 671.472.6813

Attorneys for Appellee Government of Guam Retirement Fund RETIREMENT FUND FEB 19 2008

RECEIVED FEB 19 2008

Director's Office A: 45PM

BY: A Camach

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC AUDITOR

GUAM

IN THE APPEAL OF

GREAT-WEST RETIREMENT SERVICES,

Appellant.

CIVIL CASE NO. OPA-PA-07-006

NOTICE OF FILING UNDER SEAL NOTICE OF FILING OF SECOND
SUPPLEMENTAL PROCUREMENT
RECORD AND CONFIDENTIAL
PORTIONS OF PROCUREMENT
RECORD

The PRIVATE VERSION of Notice of Filing of Second Supplemental Procurement Record and Confidential Portions of Procurement Record are being filed under seal.

DATED: Hagåtña, Guam, February 19, 2008.

CARLSMITH BALL LLP

ELYZE J. MCDONALD

Attorneys for Appellee

Government of Guam Retirement Fund



CARLSMITH BALL LLP

ELYZE J. McDONALD Bank of Hawaii Bldg., Suite 401 134 West Soledad Avenue, P.O. Box BF Hagåtña, Guam 96932-5027 Tel No. 671.472.6813

Attorneys for Appellee Government of Guam Retirement Fund OFFICE CHE AND SELECTION PROCESS 19 2000

TIME 2:45 PM

BY: A Camaly

FILE No. OF A 19 7-001

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC AUDITOR
GUAM

FEB 1 9 2008

RECEIVED

Director's Office

RETIREMENT FUND

IN THE APPEAL OF

GREAT-WEST RETIREMENT SERVICES,

Appellant.

CIVIL CASE NO. OPA-PA-07-006

NOTICE OF FILING OF SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL PROCUREMENT RECORD AND CONFIDENTIAL PORTIONS OF PROCUREMENT RECORD

Appellee Government of Guam Retirement Fund ("GGRF"), by and through undersigned counsel, hereby files with the Office of Public Auditor a second supplement to the complete copy of the procurement record relevant to the above-captioned appeal in the form prescribed by 2 GAR 12104(c)(3).

Appellee has furthermore separated, and submitted separately and under seal, portions of the record which are confidential and/or proprietary. The confidential portions are designated in italics in the below table of contents to the record.

CHRONO	LOGY	OF E	VENTS
W			

TAB

December 7, 2006

Log of Proposals

22

February 7, 2007

Summary Evaluation Sheets





DATED: Hagåtña, Guam, February 19, 2008.

CARLSMITH BALL LLP

ELYZE J. MCDONALD

Attorneys for Appellee Government of Guam Retirement Fund

RETIREMENT FUND GOVERNMENT OF GU

Registration of Proposals in Response to RFP No. GGRF-028-06 for Investment Management and Plan Administration Services 457 Deferred Compensation Plan and Welfare Benefit Plan related to the 401(a) Defined Contribution Plan,

	Name of Offeror	Date/Time	Date/Time		
		rachet neceived	Packet Opened	Modifications	Description of Services Offered
ri	Lincoln Financial Group / Bank of Hawaii	11-03-06 @ 10:25 a.m.	12-07-06 @ 4:45 p.m.	N/A	Provide investment management and plan administration services relating to the aspects of the Defined Contribution Retirement System (DCRS), 457 Deferred Compensation Plan and Welfare Renefit Plan
2.	Great West Retirement Services	11-06-06 @ 11:25 a.m.	12-07-06 @ 5:05 p.m.	A/X	Provide investment management and plan administration services relating to the aspects of the Defined Contribution Retirement System (DCRS), 457 Deferred Compensation Plan and Welfare Benefit Plan.
m	ASC Trust Corporation	11-06-06 @ 2:15 p.m.	12-07-06 @ 5:20 p.m.	N/A	Provide investment management and plan administration services relating to the aspects of the Defined Contribution Retirement System (DCRS), 457 Deferred Compensation Plan and Welfare Benefit Plan.

The aforementioned information was compiled upon the opening of proposals conducted at the Government of Guam Retirement Fund Office and witnessed by the

This Register of Proposals shall be open to public inspection only after the award of the contract for such services. The proposal of any offeror who is not awarded

Paula M. Blas DUILDA" Sirector 12.07.06 Date 12.07.06

Deborah L.I. Ulloa

Administrative Assistant

Instructions: Please place a check mark in the appropriate box to indicate a 'YES' response.

Panel Member: $P_{\ell, \nu, \ell} | f_{\ell, \delta}$ Date: $\frac{12/1}{\ell, \ell}$

A. PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS:

Description

- 1. Submission: One(1) original and ten (10) copies
- Cover Letter
- a. Is proposal signed by someone who is authorized to bind the offeror contractually?
 - b. Is the firm's name, address, telephone/facsimilie numbers stated?
 - c. Is the location of the firm's principal place of business listed?
- d. Did the offeror include a statement of interest in providing the required services described in the
- Names of indivdiuals primarily responsible for the investment management services and plan administration services to be performed.
 - f. Is the firm's federal EIN or TIN listed?
- g. Is the offeror a registered Investment Advisor under the Investment Advisor Act of 1940?
- h. Did the offeror provide a warranty that it has completed, obtained and performed all registrations, filings, approvals, authorizations, consents, or examinations required by governments and governmental authorirites necessary to provide the services being offered under its proposal?
- i. Did the offeror provide a warranty that it meets all of the minimum qualifications applicable to the person/firm under the RFP?

			- 												
		Remarks													
	ပ	ASC Trust			yes		\	7	1	,	7		7	Ž	`
Offeror	æ	GWRS			بهزي		1	`	`^	/	\	1	7	<i>\</i>	, 1
	A	Lincoln Financial / BOH		Sometiment of the second secon	yes	The second secon	>	\ \	\	``	`	1	7	>	7

Panel Member:

Instructions: Please place a check mark in the appropriate box to indicate a 'YES' response.

3. Statement attesting to the adherence and compliance with the minimum requirements.

B. MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS:

- a. Does the firm have 5 yrs. of experience administering directed DC Plans (401, 457, etc.)? And, is the firm currently providing single provider administration services to a minimum of 3 public or private pension plans?
- b. Does the firm have at least 3 years recordkeeping experience for approx. 5,000 participants?
- c. Did the offeror provide a seamless recordkeeping solution that would be easily used by participants and not adversely impact participants in any of the funds.
- d. Is the firm duly qualified to conduct business on Guam?
- e. Is is stipulated that there will be no front-end charges, no back-end charges, or market value adjustments (MVA). There will be no liquidity restrictions or penalties on participant transfers or withdrawals, with the possible exception of stable value fund provisions and/or mutual fund specific short-term trading fees.
- f. Fully disclosed all fund expenses and revenue sharing arrangements associated with all funds made available to GGRF. This includes 12b-1 fees and any other fees. Did the firm disclose any proprietary interest in any of the funds being proposed?
- g. Is the fund's line-up of investment options in compliance with the Plan's Investment Policy
 Statement for the length of the contract?

i	Remarks									
ပ	ASC Trust			7	Ž	2	``	>	7	>
B	GWRS	`^		7	>	>	`	>	>	>
4	Lincoln Financial / BOH	>		7	7	Ž	2	7	7	د.
	2	GWRS	GWRS ASC Trust							

RFP GGRF -028-06: Investment Mgmt and Plan Administration Svs.

12/7/2006 Page 2 of 3

Panel Member:

Instructions: Please place a check mark in the appropriate box to indicate a 'YES' response.

b. Did the offeror demonstrate knowledge of and comply with all applicable Guam laws and federal laws and regulations regarding governmental retirement plans and investment options?

 Is the firm SEC registered or exempt from registration? If exempt, did the firm provide the nature of exemption?

 Are the terms outlined in this RFP guaranteed up to and through the negotiation of the final contract? k. Does the firm have any legal or other problems with SEC or any other regulatory agency?

3. Disclosures:

- a. Disclosure of Major Shareholders
- b. Representation regarding Gratuities and Kickbacks
- c. Prospective Representation regarding Contingent Fees
 - d. Certification of Independent Price Determination
- e. Representation regarding Ethical Standards for Government Employees and Former Government Employees

4. Contents of Proposal: Are questions and answers submitted in numerical order?

		Remarks										
:	ပ	ASC Trust	7	Λ	7	7	7	2	Z	7	7	Ż
Offeror	8	GWRS	`^	7	7	7	>	7	7	Ż	N	Л
	A	Lincoln Financial / BOH	7	٦,	7	<i>)</i> .	1	ζ.	ſ	P	\ \	>

RFP GGRF -028-06: investment Mgmt and Plan Administration Svs.

12/7/2006 Page 3 of 3

Instructions: Please place a check mark in the appropriate box to indicate a 'YES' response.

Panel Member: Debbie 1111ba. Date: 12:07:06

A. PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS:

Description

1. Submission: One(1) original and ten (10) copies

Cover Letter

a. Is proposal signed by someone who is authorized to bind the offeror contractually?

b. Is the firm's name, address, telephone/facsimilie numbers stated?

c. Is the location of the firm's principal place of business listed?

d. Did the offeror include a statement of interest in providing the required services described in the

e. Names of indivdiuals primarily responsible for the investment management services and plan administration services to be performed.

f. Is the firm's federal EIN or TIN listed?

Is the offeror a registered Investment Advisor under the Investment Advisor Act of 1940?

registrations, filings, approvals, authorizations, consents, or examinations required by governments and governmental authorirites necessary to provide the services being offered under its proposal? h. Did the offeror provide a warranty that it has completed, obtained and performed all

i. Did the offeror provide a warranty that it meets all of the minimum qualifications applicable to the person/firm under the RFP?

	<u></u>		·		,						Υ	г
		Remarks										
	ပ	ASC Trust	Yes.	^	>	^	^	>	>	>	>	>
Offeror	В	GWRS	Yes.	٨	^	^	^	>	>	^	>	^
	A	Lincoln Financial / BOH	Yee.	^	^	^	>	>	>	^	>	>
,							Je Je					je Pe

12/7/2006 Page 1 of 3

Panel Member: Debie Ulloa Debie 12:07:06

Instructions: Please place a check mark in the appropriate box to indicate a 'YES' response.

3. Statement attesting to the adherence and compliance with the minimum requirements.

B. MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS.

- a. Does the firm have 5 yrs. of experience administering directed DC Plans (401, 457, etc.)? And, is the firm currently providing single provider administration services to a minimum of 3 public or private pension plans?
- b. Does the firm have at least 3 years recordkeeping experience for approx. 5,000 participants?
- c. Did the offeror provide a seamless recordkeeping solution that would be easily used by participants and not adversely impact participants in any of the funds.
- d. Is the firm duly qualified to conduct business on Guam?
- e. Is is stipulated that there will be no front-end charges, no back-end charges, or market value adjustments (MVA). There will be no liquidity restrictions or penalties on participant transfers or withdrawals, with the possible exception of stable value fund provisions and/or mutual fund specific short-term trading fees.
- f. Fully disclosed all fund expenses and revenue sharing arrangements associated with all funds made available to GGRF. This includes 12b-1 fees and any other fees. Did the firm disclose any proprietary interest in any of the funds being proposed?
- g. Is the fund's line-up of investment options in compliance with the Plan's Investment Policy Statement for the length of the contract?

		Remarks								
	၁	ASC Trust	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>
Offeror	8	GWRS	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>
	A	Lincoln Financial / BOH	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>

RFP GGRF -028-06: Investment Mgmt and Plan Administration Svs.

12/7/2006 Page 2 of 3

Panel Member: Debbie Ulloa Date: 12.07.06

Instructions: Please place a check mark in the appropriate box to indicate a 'YES' response.

h. Did the offeror demonstrate knowledge of and comply with all applicable Guam laws and federal laws and regulations regarding governmental retirement plans and investment options?

Is the firm SEC registered or exempt from registration? If exempt, did the firm provide the nature of exemption?

j. Are the terms outlined in this RFP guaranteed up to and through the negotiation of the final contract? k. Does the firm have any legal or other problems with SEC or any other regulatory agency?

3. Disclosures:

- a. Disclosure of Major Shareholders
- b. Representation regarding Gratuities and Kickbacks
- c. Prospective Representation regarding Contingent Fees
- e. Representation regarding Ethical Standards for Government Employees and Former d. Certification of Independent Price Determination

Government Employees

4. Contents of Proposal: Are questions and answers submitted in numerical order?

					1	····					,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
		Remarks												
	၁	ASC Trust	>	>	>	>	And the William State of the St	^	<i>^</i>	^	<i>^</i>	^		>
Offeror	В	GWRS	>	>	>	>		À	^	γ	^	^	,	>
	Y	Lincoln Financial / BOH	^	>	>	>		^	\	>	>	>	`	>
			ल											

Suite 401 Pacific News Building 238 Archbishop Flores St. Hagåtña, Guam 96910

Phone: (671) 475-0390 FAX: (671) 472-7951



OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC AUDITOR

Fax

GOVERNMENT OF GUA-RETIREMENT FUND FEB 2 1 2008 RECEIVED

			Director's Office
То:	Elyze J. McDonald, Esq.	From:	Theresa Gumataotao
	Thomas M. Tarpley, Esq.		OPA Procurement Appeals
	Joaquin C. Arriola, Jr. Esq.		
Agency:	Carlsmith Ball LLP	Pages	2 (Including cover)
	Law Offices of Thomas M. Tarpley		
	Arriola, Cowan, & Arriola		
CC:	Paula Blas - GGRF Director	Date:	Wednesday - 2/20/08
Fax:	477-4375 / 472-4526/ 477-9734 / 475-8922	Phone:	472-6813 / 472-1539 / 477-9730 / 475-8950
Re:	Status of Decision: OPA-PA-07	7-006	
□ Urgen	t X For Review ☐ Please Comr	nent X	Please Recycle
•Comme	ents:		
See stat	us letter attached and please ackno	wledge r	eceipt by re-sending this cover page with
your firm	n's stamp, date, and initials. Thank y	you.	
	-	Theresa -	475-0390 x. 207 tgumataotao@guarnopa.org

This facsimile transmission and accompanying documents may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient of this fax transmission, please call our office and notify us immediately. Do not distribute or disclose the contents to anyone. Thank you.



OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC AUDITOR

February 20, 2008

Thomas M. Tarpley, Jr. Esq.
The Thomas M. Tarpley Law Firm
GCIC Building
414 W. Soledad Avenue, Suite 904
Hagatna, Guam 96910
Attorneys for Great-West Retirement Services – Appellant

VIA FACSIMILE:

671-472-4526

Elyze J. McDonald
Carlsmith Ball LLP
Bank of Hawaii Bldg., Suite 401
134 West Soledad Avenue, P.O. Box BF
Hagatna, Guam 96932-5027
Attorney for the Government of Guam Retirement Fund - Appellee

VIA FACSIMILE:

671-477-4375

Joaquin C. Arriola, Jr., Esq.
Arriola, Cowan, & Arriola
259 Martyr Street, Suite 201
C&A Building
Hagatna, Guam 96932
Attorneys for Administrative Services Corporation – Interested Party

VIA FACSIMILE:

671-477-9734

Messers & Mses Tarpley, McDonald, and Arriola,

The Public Auditor has delayed the issuance of the decision on appeal OPA-PA-07-006. In concluding our review we have sought additional information from the Government of Guam Retirement Fund to include:

(1) December 7, 2006

Log of Proposals

(2) February 7, 2007

Selection Panel's Summary of Evaluation Sheets

(3) February 26, 2007

Selection Panel's Summary of Evaluation Scores

The above-mentioned documents were submitted to OPA under seal on February 19, 2008. Following our review of these documents a decision will be forthcoming.

Sincerely.

Robert G.P. Cruz, Esq

Hearing Officer

PROCUREMENT APPEALS

1 2

3

4

5

IN THE APPEAL OF

GREAT WEST RETIREMENT SERVICES,

APPELLANT.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

15

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

2425

26

27

28

Appeal No. OPA-PA-07-006

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF HEARING OFFICER

DOVERNMENT OF GUARETIREMENT FUND

MAR **1 3** 2008

RECEIVED Director's Office

I. INTRODUCTION

A hearing on this procurement appeal was held on January 21, 2008. These are the findings of the Hearing Officer, Robert G.P. Cruz, Esq., on an appeal filed on June 22, 2007, by Great-West Retirement Services (hereafter "GWRS"), regarding the Government of Guam Retirement Fund's (hereafter "GGRF") procurement for Investment Management and Plan Administration related to the 401(a) Defined Contribution Plan, 457 Deferred Compensation Plan and Welfare Benefit Plan. Elyze McDonald, Esq., Carlsmith Ball, LLP, represented GGRF, Thomas M. Tarpley, Esq. represented GWRS, and Joaquin C. Arriola, Jr., Esq, Arriola, Cowan, Arriola, represented Administrative Services Corporation, (hereafter "ASC").

II. FINDINGS OF FACT

These findings of fact are based on the Procurement Record and all documents submitted by the parties in the appeal in addition to the oral argument.

1. GWRS submitted its proposal to GGRF and was found to be the best qualified offeror among those responding to the Request for Proposal (RFP) No. GGRF-028-06. It was so notified

Findings and Recommendations - 1

letter dated March 6, 2007. The letter requested that GWRS submit custodial and pricing data for negotiation, specifically including the following information:

- a. the independent custodian selected to provide trust services, preferably a local trust company;
- b. that no fees would be assessed to participant account balances;
- c. that GWRS's fee would be .25% (1/4 of 1) of the total assets; and
- d. that all reallowances and rebates of 12b-1 fees received from the investment options managers would be remitted to GGRF.
- 2. GWRS responded in a letter dated March 12, 2007, and addressed the following: (1) that it would use Wells Fargo or another local firm as a Custodian, (2) that there would be no explicit fee deducted from participant accounts, (3) that a .33% to .27% fee would be offered depending on total plan assets, and (4) any excess funding from investment options (fixed and variable) would be refunded to the plan each year.
- 3. GGRF in a March 18, 2007 letter did not accept GWRS' cost pricing submitted on March 12 and requested a best and final offer.
- 4. GWRS responded to GGRF on March 21, 2007, and modified their March 12th proposed fee to .27% of total plan assets.
- 5. In an internal analysis dated April 27, 2007, an Administrative Assistant determined that the GWRS March 12, 2007 answers were non-responsive. This argument was repeated by the GGRF at the formal hearing. We disagree. Responses by GWRS addressed each item of interest of GGRF. They simply did not give the answer that GGRF sought in each category.
- 6. On April 27, 2007 GGRF responded to GWRS' modified proposal and determined that their best and final offer was not fair and reasonable, terminated negotiations, and began

negotiations with the next best offeror, ASC. GGRF never adequately explained why the .25% that they sought was reasonable, but that the .27% GWRS offered somehow was neither reasonable nor acceptable. Reference is made to Tab # 11 of the Agency Record, but a review of the material is not helpful in discerning the importance of the .25% figure.

- 7. GWRS filed a timely appeal on June 22, 2007 protesting the termination of its negotiations with GGRF. GWRS asserts that its best and final offer was "fair and reasonable" based upon a comparison of its offer to GGRF with participant fees charged for two categories of employee plans in various states. (Declaration of Kent Morris, Vice President, Western Region, GWRS). GWRS also argued that GGRF was arbitrary and capricious in comparing GWRS's proposal to other bidders on Guam, rather than considering fees charged in other jurisdictions as GWRS wanted GGRF to do.
- 8. Two pre-hearing conferences were held before the Hearing Officer. The first pre-hearing conference was held on December 18, 2007. It was agreed that there would be oral argument on GWRS's Motion to Lift Seal and Compel Production of Documents at a second pre-hearing conference, on January 10, 2008. After studying the Motion, and the Response of GGRF, and considering the oral argument presented by all counsel, including ASC's, the Hearing Officer orally denied the motion on January 10, 2008, as allowed by 2 GAR Division 4, section 12109 (d).

III. ANALYSIS

1. GWRS's contention that GGRF was arbitrary and capricious in terminating negotiations with GWRS appears to be accurate. GGRF requested that GWRS provide its offer in a two-part format addressing custodial arrangements and pricing data. GWRS submitted its offer in the format required by GGRF. In our opinion, the March 12, 2007 response of GWRS

addressed both required points, custodial services and pricing. GGRF subsequently halted the March 2007 negotiations, rejecting GWRS's best and final offer.

2. GWRS's Motion to Lift Seal and Produce Documents was an inappropriate motion during negotiations. Such details are normally public record after an award of a contract during a procurement process, not during the negotiation stages. GWRS argued that the GGRF should have revealed details of the procurement that were confidential during negotiations. 2 GAR Division 4, Chapter 3, Section 3114(h)(1) states that the Register of Proposals becomes part of the public record only after the award of the contract and that the proposals of offerors who are not awarded the contract shall not be opened to public inspection. At this point no award has been made and the negotiations that have occurred between GGRF and ASC and GWRS contain proposals that GGRF is not by law at liberty to disclose.

IV. CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, the Hearing Officer recommends that the Public Auditor determine the following:

- 1. Although GGRF claims it negotiated in good faith with GWRS, there was no showing in the documents provided that GWRS's best and final offer of a fee of 0.27% of valued assets was not fair and reasonable, compared to the fee of 0.25% of valued assets sought by GGRF negotiators.
- 2. Although GGRF claimed that GWRS was non-responsive in providing the items requested by GGRF in the format requested by GGRF during the negotiation period, in fact GWRS provided its response in its March 12, 2007 letter addressing the issues GGRF required.

3. GWRS's contention that GGRF was arbitrary and capricious in terminating the negotiations with GWRS appears to be substantiated. GGRF did not respond to GWRS's request for an analysis why its 0.27% fee was not fair and reasonable.

4. GGRF should continue its negotiations with the best qualified offeror, GWRS, in order to complete the procurement of Investment Management and Plan Administration related to the 401(a) Defined Contribution Plan, 457 Deferred Compensation Plan and Welfare Benefit Plan.

5. The Chairman of the GGRF serves on the Board of Directors of the proposed Trustee for ASC. Thus should renewed negotiations between GWRS and GGRF ultimately fail, the Chairman should find a replacement negotiator so that he is not involved in negotiating with ASC.

A copy of these Findings shall be provided to the parties and their respective attorneys, in accordance with 5 G.C.A. Section 5702, and shall be made available for review on the OPA website **www.guamopa.org**.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED THIS 12th day of March, 2008

BLASP

ROBERT G.P. CRUZ, ESQ. Hearing Officer, Office of the Public Auditor



COVERNMENT OF GUA

RETIREMENT FUND

MAR 1 3 2008

RECEIVED

Director's Office

March 13, 2008

Thomas M. Tarpley, Jr. Esq.
The Thomas M. Tarpley Law Firm
GCIC Building
414 W. Soledad Avenue, Suite 904
Hagatna, Guam 96910
Attorney for Great-West Retirement Services – Appellant

Elyze J. McDonald Carlsmith Ball LLP Bank of Hawaii Bldg., Suite 401 134 West Soledad Avenue, P.O. Box BF Hagatna, Guam 96932-5027 Attorney for the Government of Guam Retirement Fund - Appellee

Paula M. Blas Director Government of Guam Retirement Fund 424 Route 8 - Maite, Guam 96910

Joaquin C. Arriola, Jr., Esq.
Arriola, Cowan, & Arriola
259 Martyr Street, Suite 201
C&A Building
Hagatna, Guam 96932
Attorneys for Administrative Services Corporation – Interested Party

Messers & Mses Tarpley, McDonald, Blas and Arriola,

Transmitted herewith is a copy of the Decision and Findings of Fact on appeal OPA-PA-07-006 in the appeal of Great West Retirement Services relative to the procurement of RFP No. GGRF-028-06 Government of Guam Retirement Fund Investment Management and Plan Administration related to the 401(a) Defined Contribution Plan, 457 Deferred Compensation Plan and Welfare Benefit Plan.

A complete copy of the Decision and Findings of Fact will be posted on our website within the next day and available for public view at www.guamopa.org.

Senseramente,

Doris Flores Brooks, CPA, CGFM

Public Auditor



.2

1

3

4 5

> 6 7

8

10

11

12 13

15

14

17

16

18 19

20

22

23

24

2526

27

28

PROCUREMENT APPEALS

IN THE APPEAL OF

GREAT WEST RETIREMENT SERVICES,

APPELLANT.

Appeal No. OPA-PA-07-006

DECISION

COVERNMENT OF GUARATTEEMENT FUND
MAR 1 3 2008

RECEIVED
Director's Office

I. INTRODUCTION

This is a Decision by the Public Auditor on a procurement appeal filed on June 22, 2007, by Great-West Retirement Services (hereafter "GWRS"), regarding the Government of Guam Retirement Fund (hereafter "GGRF"). A formal hearing was held on January 21, 2008. Present and making arguments on behalf of their clients were Elyze J. McDonald, Esq., Carlsmith Ball, LLP, representing GGRF, Thomas M. Tarpley, Esq., representing GWRS, and Joaquin C. Arriola Jr. Esq., Arriola, Cowan & Arriola, representing Administrative Services Corporation, (hereafter "ASC").

II. FINDINGS OF FACT

In reaching this Decision, the Public Auditor has considered and incorporates by reference herein the findings of the Hearing Officer, ROBERT G.P. CRUZ, ESQ.

II. ANALYSIS

- 1. GWRS contends that GGRF was arbitrary and capricious in terminating negotiations with GWRS. GGRF requested that GWRS provide its offer in a two-part format addressing custodial arrangements and pricing data. GWRS submitted its response, which was not satisfactory to GGRF which said that its proposal was not acceptable.
- 2 After being informed that its custodial and pricing proposal was not accepted, GWRS submitted its best and final offer which was rejected by GGRF as not being fair and reasonable. No analysis was provided by GGRF why GWRS's fee proposal of .27% of valued assets was not fair and reasonable, while .25% of valued assets would be fair and reasonable.
- 3. GWRS's Motion to Lift Seal and Produce Documents was an inappropriate motion during negotiations. Such details are normally public record after an award of a contract during a procurement process, not during the negotiation stages. GWRS argued that the GGRF should have revealed details of the procurement that were confidential during negotiations.

IV. CONCLUSION

Based on the above, the Public Auditor determines that:

- 1. GGRF analyzed bid documents, decided GWRS to be the best offeror, and initiated negotiations. Award of a contract under 5 GCA Section 5216(3) and 2 GAR, Div. 4, Chap. 3, Section 3114(k) requires two elements: a determination that the offeror is the best qualified, and successful negotiations of fair and reasonable compensation.
- 2. GGRF appears to have been arbitrary and capricious in ending negotiations with GWRS.
 - 3. GGRF did not show that the best and final offer of GWRS was not fair and reasonable.

25

26

27

28

If GWRS's best and final offer is fair and reasonable compensation for the work to be performed, then GWRS meets the requirements of law to be awarded the contract.

- 4. If GGRF did not show that it was reasonable to terminate negotiations with GWRS, then it is not acceptable to begin negotiations with the next best offeror, ASC.
- 5. GWRS's Appeal is hereby sustained and GGRF's Decision denying GWRS's protest is thus overruled.
- 6. GGRF should continue negotiating with GWRS in an attempt to reach an agreement to provide Investment Management and Plan Administration Services related to the 401(a) Defined Contribution Plan, 457 Deferred Compensation Plan and Welfare Benefit Plan.
- 7. In the event that renewed negotiations are terminated with GWRS, it should be noted that the Chairman of the GGRF Fund has a conflict of interest. The Chairman serves on the Board of Directors of the proposed Trustee for ASC. Therefore, he should not participate in negotiations with ASC and a substitute negotiator should be appointed by GGRF.

This is a final administrative Decision. Parties are hereby informed of their right to appeal from a Decision by the Public Auditor to the Superior Court of Guam, in accordance with Part D of Article 9 of 5 GCA Section 5702. A copy of this Decision shall be provided to the parties and their respective attorneys in accordance with 5 GCA Section 5702 and shall be made available for review on the OPA website www. guamopa.org.

Dated this 13th day of March 2008

DORIS FLORES BROOKS, CPA, CGFM

PUBLIC AUDITOR

CARLSMITH BALL LLP

ELYZE J. McDONALD CAREY M. AUSTIN Bank of Hawaii Bldg., Suite 401 134 West Soledad Avenue, P.O. Box BF Hagåtña, Guam 96932-5027 Tel No. 671.472.6813

Attorneys for Appellee-Movant Government of Guam Retirement Fund OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC AUDITOR PROCEREMENT (1997) LS

TIME: 3-17 PM BY: I - Cumopotao FILE NO. OPA-RA - 07-006

RETIREMENT FUND

MAR 2 4 2008

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC AUDITOR GUAM

IN THE APPEAL OF

GREAT-WEST RETIREMENT SERVICES,

Appellant.

APPEAL NO. OPA-PA-07-006

GOVERNMENT OF GUAM RETIREMENT FUND'S MOTION TO AMEND FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION

GOVERNMENT OF GUAM RETIREMENT FUND'S MOTION TO AMEND FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION



this matter.

Respectfully submitted this 20th day of March, 2008:

CARLSMITH BALL LLP

ELYZE J. M¢DONALD CAREY M. AUSTIN

Attorneys for Appellee-Movant

Government of Guam Retirement Fund

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF THE GOVERNMENT OF GUAM RETIREMENT FUND'S MOTION TO AMEND FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION

On March 13, 2008, the OPA issued its Decision hereunder. This Motion is brought by GGRF in the best interests of the GGRF and the government of Guam (i) for purposes of avoiding unnecessary delays and costs in the procurement process; (ii) in accordance with 5 G.C.A. §§ 5480 and 5481(a) and commentary thereto; and (iii) under the legal and equitable authority conferred by Title 2, Division 4, Chapter 12, of the Guam Administrative Rules. This Motion is not intended to delay the underlying procurement process, as the GGRF fully intends to proceed with negotiations in accordance with the Decision. For clarification and guidance in future procurements, the GGRF specifically requests amendment of the OPA's Conclusion No. 7 of the Decision at p. 2 so as to conform, and be limited to, the Conclusion No. 5 in the Findings and Recommendations of the Hearing Officer issued hereunder on March 13, 2008 ("Findings"), which states:

5. The Chairman of the GGRF serves on the Board of Directors of the proposed Trustee for ASC. Thus should renewed negotiations between GWRS and GGRF ultimately fail, the Chairman should find a replacement negotiator so that he is not involved in negotiating with ASC.

Findings at p. 5.

For the reasons set forth herein, GGRF respectfully requests the deletion of the following underscored clause in Conclusion No. 7 of the OPA's Decision, which clause was not contained in the Hearing Officer's above-quoted Conclusion Number 5:

7. In the event that renewed negotiations are terminated with GWRS, it should be noted that the Chairman of the GGRF Fund has a conflict of interest. The Chairman serves on the Board of Directors of the proposed Trustee for ASC. Therefore, he should not participate in negotiations with ASC and a substitute negotiator should be appointed by GGRF.

Decision at p. 3 (emphasis added).

For purposes of this Motion and the underlying appeal hereunder, the Fund does not challenge: (i) the above-quoted Conclusion Number 5 reached by the Hearing Officer or (ii) the non-underscored portion of the above-quoted Conclusion Number 7 reached by the OPA; specifically, that the Chairman of the GGRF serves on the Board of Directors of the proposed Trustee for ASC. In fact, as a practical matter to expedite the procurement process, GGRF already has appointed a substitute for the Chairman for purposes of continuing negotiation in the underlying procurement in RFP No. GGRF-028-06.

GGRF also concedes for purposes of argument and practicality that the Hearing Officer was authorized under Title 2, Division 4, Chapter 12, Section 12109(h)(4) of the Guam Administrative Rules, to take "official notice of any material fact not appearing in evidence in the record, if such fact is among the traditional matters of judicial notice." Accordingly, GGRF acknowledges that the Hearing Officer could find, via official notice, that the Chairman of the GGRF sits on the Board of Directors of the proposed Trustee for ASC. Notwithstanding their authority under Section 12109(h)(4), however, the findings/conclusions reached by the Hearing Officer in his Findings and by the Public Auditor in her Decision should have been limited to the findings in the record or in findings that could be officially noticed, consistent with traditional matters of judicial notice.

In contrast, the first sentence of Conclusion Number 5 in the OPA's Decision reaches a determination of a legal issue -- a conflict of interest -- that was (a) not raised by any party to the appeal; (b) not briefed by any party to the appeal; (c) not based on any findings of fact in the record; and (d) not a material fact among traditional matters of judicial or official notice contemplated by Section 12109(h)(4). The Hearing Officer asked no questions designed to

¹ GGRF submits that the "conclusion" that the Chairman sits on the Board of ASC's proposed Trustee is not a "conclusion" but a "finding of fact" that was not based on any issue raised or briefed by any of parties at any stage of the appeal.

elicit answers to determine the existence of a conflict of interest. GGRF had no opportunity to address or challenge the OPA's determination that the Chairman had a conflict of interest solely because of his status as a Director on the Board of ASC's proposed Trustee. GGRF had no opportunity to present evidence that no party to the appeal had timely filed a protest or challenge to any actions taken by GGRF related to the underlying procurement based solely on the Chairman's role as a Director on the Board of ASC's proposed Trustee.

The OPA's conclusion that the Chairman has a conflict of interest may have significant consequences for the prior actions taken by GGRF in the course of the underlying procurement, if such a conflict were to exist. The Decision, therefore, should not contain a *sua sponte* conclusion that a conflict of interest exists, if such a conclusion is not based on any findings of clearly enumerated factors establishing an actual conflict of interest (for example, whether the Chairman would receive additional remuneration as a Director of the proposed trustee for ASC based on the final pricing of a contract between GGRF and ASC).

If the determination of a conflict of interest was in some way relevant to the underlying appeal (and GGRF submits it was not), then such a significant and material determination should have been raised in the appeal. It was not. No party to the appeal was given the opportunity to submit any evidence on the issue. No party was allowed to frame the relevant factors determinative of a conflict of interest, or the relevant context (e.g., a government procurement? a trust managed by trustees?) under which the factors should be assessed. The practical harm arising from unsolicited and unsupported conclusions in the Decision is that such conclusions could be construed as "final" and used collaterally even for purposes unrelated to the underlying appeal. Section 12111(c) (Standard of Review) states:

Any determination of an issue or a finding of fact by the Public Auditor shall be final and conclusive unless found by a court to be arbitrary, capricious, fraudulent, clearly erroneous, or contrary to law. Any decision of the Public Auditor, including any determination regarding the application or interpretation of the procurement law or regulations, shall be entitled to great weight and the benefit of reasonable doubt, although it shall not be conclusive on any court having competent jurisdiction.

Title 2, Division 4, Chapter 12, Section 12109(h)(4) of the Guam Administrative Rules.

Although not briefed or litigated, the OPA's "final and conclusive" determination of a conflict of interest might adversely and unnecessarily impact the entire underlying procurement. GGRF believes that an appeal to the Superior Court (on the grounds of being arbitrary, capricious, clearly erroneous and not based on facts in the record or through official notice) on an issue not relevant or necessary to the underlying appeal, would be a waste of government resources, with the potential of delaying the procurement process, yet could be avoided with an amendment that deletes the underscored clause of the above-quoted Conclusion Number 7 at p. 3 of the Decision.

For all of the foregoing reasons, GGRF respectfully requests the granting of this motion and the amendment of the OPA's Conclusion Number 7 to read as follows:

7. In the event that renewed negotiations are terminated with GWRS, it should be noted that the Chairman serves on the Board of Directors of the proposed Trustee for ASC. Therefore, he should not participate in negotiations with ASC and a substitute negotiator should be appointed by GGRF.

Lastly, for purposes of facilitating the underlying procurement, GGRF seeks the Public Auditor's clarification of the appeals process following the Decision. In the Decision, the parties were informed of their right to appeal from a Decision by the Public Auditor to the Superior Court of Guam, in accordance with "Part D of Article 9 of 5 GCA Section 5702[.]" The cited statutory provision does not inform the parties of the time in which they may appeal. GGRF as the procuring agency has an interest in clarifying the time in which the parties may appeal the OPA's Decision (as may be amended) to the Superior Court.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 20th day of March, 2008 by:

CARLSMITH BALL LLP

CAREY M. AUSTIN

Attorneys for Appellee-Movant Government of Guam Retirement Fund



Felix P. Camacho Governor

Michael W. Cruz, M.D. Lieutenant Governor

Paula M. Blas Director

Joe T. San Agustin

Trustees:

Wilfred P. Leon Guerrero, Ed.D. Vice-Chairman Investment Committee, Chairman

James J. Taylor, Ph.D. Investment Committee, Vice-Chairman

Gerard A. Cruz Audit & Operations Committee,

George A. Santos Members' & Benefits Committee, Chairman

Katherine T.E. Taitano

Antolina S. Leon Guerrero

April 2, 2008

RFP No. GGRF-028-06 – Investment Management and Plan Administration

Mr. Gregory E. Seller Senior Vice President, Government Markets GREAT WEST RETIREMENT SERVICES 18111 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 560 Irvine, CA. 92612

Services related to the 401(a) Defined Contribution Plan, 457 Deferred Compensation Plan and Welfare Benefit Plan

Dear Mr. Seller:

Re:

The Office of the Public Auditor issued the Decision and Findings of Fact on appeal OPA-PA-07-006 in the appeal of Great West Retirement Services relative to the referenced RFP No. GGRF-028-06. As a result of this decision, the Government of Guam Retirement Fund will continue negotiations with your firm.

To continue this process, please confirm if the GWRS offer outlined in the firm's March 12, 2007 letter and March 27, 2007 best and final offer remain valid or does GWRS intend to modify its best and final offer?

Please provide your response to the Retirement Fund by Friday, April 11, 2008.

If you require additional information or have any additional questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me or Ms. Debbie I. Ulloa at (671) 475-8952/51 or by email at <u>dliulloa@ggrf.com</u>.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL



Felix P. Camacho Governor

Michael W. Cruz, M.D. Lieutenant Governor Paula M. Blas

Trustees:

Joe T. San Agustin Chairman

Wilfred P. Leon Guerrero,Ed.D. Vice-Chakman Investment Committee, Chakman

Antolina S. Leon Guerrero Secretary

Gerard A. Cruz Treasurer Audit & Operations Committee, Chairman

George A. Santos Members' & Benefits Committee, Chairman

Katherine T.E. Taitano

Wilfred G. Aflague Trustee

BOARD OF TRUSTEES Regular Meeting

Friday, July 31, 2009, 12:00 p.m. Retirement Fund Conference Room

MINUTES

DEFINED CONTRIBUTIONS

I. ATTENDANCE, QUORUM, AND CALL TO ORDER

After determining a quorum was present, the Retirement Fund Board of Trustees Regular Meeting for the Defined Contribution Plan was called to order at 12:25 p.m. Friday, July 31, 2009, in the Retirement Fund Conference Room. Chairman Joe T. San Agustin officiated.

Board of Trustees Absent:

Katherine T.E. Taitano, Trustee (Excused)

Board of Trustees Present:

Joe T. San Agustin, Chairman Wilfred P. Leon Guerrero, Vice-Chairman Antolina S. Leon Guerrero, Secretary Gerard A. Cruz, Treasurer Wilfred G. Aflague, Trustee George A. Santos, Trustee

Staff Present:

Paula Blas, Director
Diana Bernardo, Controller
Rosalia Bordallo, General Accounting Supervisor
Jackie Blas, Recording Secretary
Mike Perez, Great-West Retirement Services

II. REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF BOARD MINUTES

A. February 27, 2009 and March 6, 2009 Reconvened Meetings, March 27, 2009, April 24, 2009, May 29, 2009 and June 26, 2009 Regular Meetings

On motion of Trustee George Santos, seconded by Trustee Antolina Leon Guerrero, and without objection, the Minutes of February 27, 2009 and March 6, 2009 Reconvened Meetings, March 27, 2009, May 29, 2009 and June 26, 2009 Regular Meetings were approved, subject to corrections. Motion passed.

Trustee George Santos, seconded by Trustee Antolina Leon Guerrero, moved to table the Minutes of April 24, 2009 Regular Meeting. Without objection, the motion passed.

III. CORRESPONDENCE

None

IV. INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

None

V. DIRECTOR'S REPORT - EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. Request for Proposal (RFP): Third Party Administrator (TPA) — Director Paula Blas stated that the TPA agreements and the Service Level Agreement (SLA) have been finalized. Copies of the finalized agreements are attached and ready for signatures. Director Blas stated that Management and the Retirement Fund's Legal Counsel have extensively gone through the provisions in the agreements. Director Blas stated that the SLA is the administrative side between Great-West Retirement Services (Great-West) and the Retirement Fund. Director Blas stated that the SLA outlines their standards.

Director Blas stated that there are three parts to the TPA agreement: 1) the Investment Management and Plan Administration Services Agreement; 2) the Service Level Agreement; and 3) the Reality Investing Advisory Services Agreement which is the financial advice to be provided by Advised Assets Group, LLC (AAG). Director Blas stated that AAG will be providing financial advice to the participants.

Chairman San Agustin stated that the Retirement Fund should not be working directly with AAG. Mike Perez of Great-West stated that it should be the Plan Administrator; the Retirement Fund is the one that authorizes the offer of financial advice. Treasurer Gerard Cruz stated that AAG is being subcontracted by Great-West. Chairman San Agustin stated that the Retirement Fund provides a menu of funds and Great-West implements it. Trustee Antolina Leon Guerrero stated that Great-West can not give advice to the participants so the Retirement Fund needs a third party to give financial advice. Mr. Perez stated that Great-West can not give advice without the plan sponsor. Chairman San Agustin stated that this defeats the whole purpose of having a third party. Director Blas reiterated that the TPA can not provide financial advice.

Director Blas stated that last year the Retirement Fund asked for some sort of financial advice and what the cost would be. Director Blas stated that Mr. Perez then presented the managed accounts to the Retirement Fund and at that time the Retirement Fund said to hold off since there is a RFP out. Chairman San Agustin stated that he does not object to providing financial advice to the participants but that it should be between Great-West and AAG, not the Retirement Fund.

Controller Diana Bernardo stated that the Board should keep in mind that there are fees to be charged to participants in the AAG contract so if the AAG contract is incorporated into the TPA contract the Board should review/approve fees to be charged to participants. Chairman San Agustin stated that it is Great-West's responsibility to administer the Defined Contribution (DC) Plan. Director Blas stated that the financial advice could be separated from the TPA agreement. Trustee Leon Guerrero stated that we should then remove the provisions in the agreement regarding financial advice. Chairman San Agustin stated that it would be okay to sign the agreement without the financial advice. Director Blas reiterated that Chairman San Agustin is not opposed to the fact that financial advice should be available to the participants but that it should be Great-West's responsibility to hire someone to provide financial advice.

Trustee Leon Guerrero clarified that there is no problem with the first part of the contract. The Board will sign the contract with Great-West, but not with AAG. Director Blas stated that AAG does not provide administrative services. Mr. Perez suggested having the Retirement Fund's Investment Consultant look at this. Chairman San Agustin reiterated that it is not the Retirement Fund's responsibility. Trustee Leon Guerrero asked whether the Retirement Fund wants to remove this provision to offer financial advice to the participants. Chairman San Agustin replied, "No." Trustee Leon Guerrero asked how many participants asked for this service. Trustee Wilfred Aflague asked what is the cost for this service. Trustee Aflague asked whether there are any fee changes. Director Blas stated that there are no participant fees.

Vice-Chairman Wilfred Leon Guerrero asked what services are being offered to the participants. Mr. Perez stated that Great-West provides statements, educational programs, recommends profile and offers asset allocation options. Treasurer Cruz recommended tabling this item for further discussion during a working session. Chairman San Agustin asked what prompted Great-West to include this option. Treasurer Cruz stated that there was one point when the Board heard of the option of including a managed account option within the existing DC Plan for those with more complicated needs. Treasurer Cruz stated that further discussion on this was tabled because the Retirement Fund was close to the process of issuing an RFP and that it was something that could be included in the RFP. Chairman San Agustin asked what prompted this. Trustee Leon Guerrero stated that it is the members who were interested in investment options. Director Blas stated that she will get back to Legal Counsel and let them know that it can not be done separately; it must be embedded in Great-West's agreement.

Trustee Leon Guerrero, seconded by Trustee Santos, made a motion to remove any provision in the Agreement that refers to AAG providing investment management services. Trustee Aflague stated that he would like to have a work session on this item. Chairman San Agustin stated that he is not opposed to providing advice to the participants. Trustee Leon Guerrero stated that she would like to sustain her motion to approve the TPA Agreement without references to AAG providing investment management services.

Trustee George Santos, seconded by Trustee Antolina Leon Guerrero, moved to approve to remove any provision in the Investment Management and Plan Administration Services Agreement related to Advised Assets Group, LLC (AAG) providing investment management services. With a unanimous vote of yes, the motion passed. Trustee Katherine Taitano was absent.

2. Hybrid Defined Benefit (DB)/Defined Contribution (DC) Plan — Director Blas stated that at the April 24, 2009 Board meeting, Mr. Richard Wright of Milliman Consulting, Inc. (Milliman) provided options for a Hybrid Plan. Director Blas stated that Mr. Wright briefly discussed the advantages and disadvantages of a DB and DC plan. Director Blas stated that the Retirement Fund has requested for further analysis from Milliman regarding the costs associated with the alternative retirement plan designs. Director Blas stated that Vice-Chairman Leon Guerrero had recommended that this be referred to the Members and Benefits Committee.

Financial Report

- *I.* Contributions Director Blas stated that as of July 28, 2009, all agencies are current with their Fiscal Year 2009 employee and employer contributions.
- 2. Financial Statements Director Blas stated that the books for the month ending June 2009 were closed on July 15, 2009.

VI. THIRD PARTY ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT

Director Blas stated that Mr. Perez of Great-West has provided, at this meeting, the TPA Report for the month ending June 30, 2009 on the DC Retirement System 401(a) Plan and the 457 Deferred Compensation Plan. Mr. Perez stated that the asset for the DC Plan is \$3 Million. Rosalia Bordallo, General Accounting Supervisor, asked Mr. Perez whether there is an increase in payment-to-self versus hardship. Mr. Perez replied, "Yes."

Trustee Aflague asked whether the Retirement Fund has a policy of members coming back. Director Blas stated that there is no redeposit. Mr. Perez stated that 19 out of 252 DC retirees have returned to work.

Mr. Perez stated that Department of Labor, Guam Housing Corporation, Department of Corrections, Department of Agriculture, Department of Revenue and Taxation, Chamorro Affairs, and the Department of Parks and Recreation are not responding to the letters from Great-West.

VII. INVESTMENT COMMITTEE REPORT

Vice-Chairman Leon Guerrero reported that the Investment Committee meeting was held on July 10,2009. The Committee reviewed and approved the Investment Committee Minutes of June 12, 2009. Vice-Chairman Leon Guerrero reported on the following informational item:

1. Hybrid Defined Benefit (DB)/Defined Contribution (DC) Plan — Vice-Chairman Leon Guerrero stated that due to the impact of market volatilities on the DC Plan, the Investment Committee previously requested that Milliman provide an analysis/report of a Hybrid DB/DC Plan. Vice-Chairman Leon Guerrero stated that during the April 24, 2009 Board meeting, Mr. Richard Wright of Milliman discussed options for the Hybrid Plan. Vice-Chairman Leon Guerrero stated that Management indicated that Milliman is still reviewing other options, which will be discussed with the Board when the reports are completed.

VIII. OLD BUSINESS

A. Contribution Report - June 2009

Director Blas stated that Mr. Perez of Great-West submitted, for this meeting, a copy of the DC Plan and the Deferred Compensation (457) Plan report for the month of June 2009.

Mr. Perez's report shows that the DC Plan has 6,354 participants with a total contribution amount of \$871,612.12. The Deferred Compensation Plan (457) has 828 participants with a total contribution amount of \$98,552.30.

IX. NEW BUSINESS

None

X. OPEN DISCUSSION / GENERAL PUBLIC INPUT

None

XI. ANNOUNCEMENTS

None

XII. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business before the Board for the Defined Contribution Plan, on motion of Trustee George Santos, seconded by Treasurer Gerard Cruz, and without objection, the meeting was adjourned at 1:29 p.m. Motion passed.

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of the Minutes of July 31, 2009 Regular Meeting duly adopted and approved by the Government of Guam Retirement Fund Board of Trustees on August 28, 2009.

Antolina S. Leon Guerrero, Board Secretary

RECORDING SECRETARY:

Jackie Blas